

Acta Palaeobotanica 57(1): 13–32, 2017 DOI: 10.1515/acpa-2017-0005

## Early Cretaceous flora from the Pranhita-Godavari Basin (east coast of India): taxonomic, taphonomic and palaeoecological considerations

## CHOPPARAPU CHINNAPPA\* and ANNAMRAJU RAJANIKANTH

Birbal Sahni Institute of Palaeobotany, 53, University Road, Lucknow 226007, Uttar Pradesh, India; e-mail: chinnabsip@gmail.com

Received 18 November 2016; accepted for publication 18 February 2017

ABSTRACT. The Early Cretaceous flora from the Gangapur Formation (Pranhita-Godavari Basin, east coast of India) was studied. Its plant diversity and abundance patterns were examined, and its palaeoecology and environment were interpreted, based on the micro- and macrofloras and sedimentological inputs. The flora is rich and diverse, and consists of bryophytes, pteridophytes, pteridosperms, gymnosperms and angiosperms. The microflora shows higher taxonomic diversity and abundance than the macroflora. Overall, the study indicated an abundance of conifers, particularly Podocarpaceae. The taphocoenosis of the flora comprises local to regional elements derived from riverbank, floodplain, backswamp and valley settings. Taken together, the data on the flora and sedimentology suggest that warm and humid environments prevailed.

KEYWORDS: microflora, macroflora, taphonomy, palaeoecology, Early Cretaceous, Gangapur Formation, Pranhita-Godavari Basin

## INTRODUCTION

The Pranhita-Godavari Basin, named after two well known rivers of Peninsular India (Pranhita, Godavari), is an intracratonic Gondwanic rift basin trending NW-SE. The basin extends to the east coast and plunges into a pericratonic rift basin, the Krishna-Godavari Basin (Lakshminarayana 1996). The Early Cretaceous succession (Gangapur/ Chikiala) in the basin has an exposed thickness of ca 525 m (Biswas 2003). It is exposed in and around the village of Gangapur (19°16'N, 79°26'E) in Adilabad District, Telangana, India. Historically these Early Cretaceous outcrops were referred to as "Gangapur beds" and placed in the Kota Group (King 1881), but on the basis of lithology Kutty (1969) separated them from the Kota Group and erected the Gangapur Formation, after Gangapur village. The formation extends

from north of Nowgaon (19°20'N, 79°24'E) to west of Gangapur village (19°16'N, 79°26'E) and to Dharmaram and Paikasigudem in the east (Kutty 1969).

The Early Cretaceous Pranhita-Godavari flora is known from micro- and macrofossil studies (Feistmantel 1879, Sahni 1928, Mahabale 1967, Ramanujam & Rajeshwar Rao 1979, 1980, Rajeshwar Rao & Ramanujam 1979, Bose et al. 1982, Rajeshwar Rao et al. 1983, Ramakrishna et al. 1985, Ramakrishna & Muralidhara Rao 1986, 1991, Prabhakar 1987, Ramakrishna & Ramanujam 1987, Muralidhara Rao & Ramakrishna 1988, Pal et al. 1988, Sukh-Dev & Rajanikanth 1988, Chinnappa et al. 2014, Chinnappa 2016). The diverse flora is composed of bryophytes, pteridophytes, pteridosperms, gymnosperms and angiosperms. Earlier, Rajanikanth (1996) analyzed the micro- and macrofloras of these Early Cretaceous sediments, but without

<sup>\*</sup> corresponding author

attempting to explain differences in the composition of the micro-and macrofloras, nor to assess their palaeoecological implications. Recently, Chinnappa et al. (2014) examined a small macroflora from these sediments and considered its palaeoecology, but did not take into account the associated microflora. Investigations of one or the other component of ancient sediments can provide only a partial picture; they must be considered together to get a complete picture of the vegetation. In the present study we analyzed the microand macrofloras from Early Cretaceous sediments of the Pranhita-Godavari Basin, and attempted a detailed account of their taphocoenosis. The stratigraphic significance of the flora and a comparison with other Early Cretaceous floras from India and southern Gondwana have been discussed (Rajanikanth 1996, Chinnappa et al. 2016). Here we focus on its taphocoenosis, the diversity patterns of the vegetation, and the prevailing palaeoecological conditions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant impressions (Pl. 1, 2) were collected from pinkish mudstone/siltstone in active quarries (RLQ 1 and RLQ 2) located at Ralpet (19°18'N-79°25'E) ca 7 km south of Sirpur-Kaghaznagar (19°21'N-79°28'E), Adilabad District, Telangana (Fig. 1), and from grey to buff mudstone/siltstone exposed on the banks of Butarmal Nala (19°27'N; 79°13'E) ca 13 km west northwest of Asifabad (19°21'N-79°17'E) Adilabad District, Telangana (Fig. 2). Plant fossils were studied under an Olympus SZH 10 dissecting stereomicroscope. All specimens were photographed with a Canon SX 150 IS digital camera using either polarized light or low-angle lighting to reveal surface details.

To isolate spores and pollen, sediments from all the localities that yielded macrofossils were treated with hydrochloric, hydrofluoric and nitric acid (HCl, HF, HNO<sub>3</sub>) and sieved (25 mm mesh). However, only samples from Butarminal Nala were productive. The slides were studied under an Olympus BH 2 microscope fitted with a digital camera. All samples and slides are deposited in the repository of the Birbal Sahni Institute of Palaeobotany for future reference.

The family-level taxonomic affinities of the obtained spores/pollen follow Ramanujam and Rajeshwar Rao (1979), and those of the pteridophytic leaves



Fig. 1. Locality map of the Asifabad area, Adilabad district, Telangana, showing fossil collection sites



Fig. 2. Lithostratigraphic columns of strata exposed at Butarmal Nala (A) and at quarry RLQ 2 (B) and quarry RLQ 1 (C)

follow Harris (1969) and Barbacka and Bodor (2008). However, taxonomic affinities at family level are not certain for many gymnosperm taxa; many of them could be related to more than one family, so they are assigned to order-level only. We analyzed species diversity separately for the micro- and macrofloras, considering the total number of taxa known in the flora on the basis of the present and previous studies. The taxonomic diversity of the flora is presented in pie diagrams (using MS Excel) as simple percentage shares of each group at order level. The abundance of the various plant taxa was calculated from counts of the number of samples for a given taxon. Discrepancies between the micro- and macrofloras are explained in terms of taphonomy and natural variations.

# GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND SEDIMENTATION

The Pranhita-Godavari Basin is one of the largest Gondwanan basins of India. It contains an almost complete succession of Gondwana rocks. The sediment in the basin, deposited from the Late Carboniferous/Early Permian to

Cretaceous, is ca 3000 m thick (Biswas 2003). Sedimentation in the Gangapur area took place during the Early Cretaceous after renewed rift activity (Biswas 2003). The Gangapur Formation is 100-250 m thick, and the Chikiala Formation is ca 275 m thick (Lakshminarayana 1996). The formation consists of coarse ferruginous sandstone with many pebble bands, succeeded by an alternating sequence of sandstone and mudstone or siltstone. The Early Cretaceous sequences are in turn covered by Deccan Traps (Kutty et al. 1987, Lakshminarayana 1996). The stratigraphical nomenclature for the Pranhita-Godavari Gondwana Basin has been variously given in the literature; here we adopt the classification given by Lakshminarayana (1996). Table 1 presents the geology, stratigraphy and ages of the entire basin.

Lower Cretaceous plant fossils in the Pranhita-Godavari Basin (Fig. 3) occur within a sequence of alternating sandstone, siltstone and clay/mud shale. Individual beds range from 7 m to 12 m thick. The plant fossils are comparatively abundant in the siltstone/mudstone facies. They include impressions of leafy twigs, cone scales, winged seeds, root traces and woody axes. The sedimentology of the Gangapur Formation indicates fluvial channel deposition (Lakshminarayana 1995, 2001). Fine-grained sediments are characteristic of flood plain deposits laterally associa ted with channels (Boggs 2006). This plain was formed by almost continuous sedimentation of fine sediments from overbank flooding, and crevasse splays represented by sandstone. Poor sorting and the random orientation of the plant fragments in clay beds may reflect frequent floods in the basin, which would have caused the vegetation to be transported and deposited in turbid floodwater. Based on the convergence of palaeocurrents, it is proposed that sediments debouching from all sides silted (Lakshminarayana 1995).

## COMPOSITION OF THE FLORA

The micro-and macrofossil records from the Gangapur Formation of the Pranhita-Godavari Basin indicate a diverse flora that includes bryophytes, pteridophytes, pteridosperms, gymnosperms and angiosperms. The diversity and abundance patterns of these plant groups, however, exhibit great discrepancies in their micro- and macrofloras.

	Formation	Lithology	Age		
Deccan Traps	ccan Traps				
Upper Gondwana	Gangapur/Chikiala	Coarse ferruginous sandstone, greywhite-pinkish mud- stone and silty mudstone/shale	Early Cretaceous		
	Unconformity				
	Kota	<u>Upper</u> : Sandstone, siltstone and claystone <u>Middle</u> : Limestone <u>Lower</u> : Sandstone with pebbles of banded chert	?Jurassic		
	Dharmaram	Coarse sandstone and red clays	Late Late Triassic		
	Maleri	Red clays, fine-medium sandstone and limestone	Early Late Triassic		
	Bhimaram	Ferruginous/calcareous sandstone, minor red clays	Late Middle Triassic		
	Yerrapalli	Red and violet clays with sandstone and limestone	Early Middle Triassic		
Lower Gondwana	Kamthi	<u>Upper</u> : Coarse-grained ferruginous sandstone with quartz pebbles	Late Late Permian- ?Early Triassic		
		<u>Middle</u> : Siltstone <u>Lower</u> : Purple argillaceous sandstone interbedded with sandstone			
	Unconformity				
	Kundaram/Barren Measure ('Ironstone shale'/ 'Infra Kamthi')	White-light yellow feldspathic sandstone, ferruginous shale, ironstone and clay/coal bands	Late Early Permian- Late Permian		
	Barakar	$\underline{\text{Upper}}$ : Feldspathic sandstone, shale and carbonaceous shale	Late Early Permian		
		Lower: Feldspathic sandstone, siltstone and coal laminae			
	Talchir	Diamictite, rhythmite, tillite, greenish shale and sandstone	Early Early Permian		
Unconformity					
Proterozoic		Igneous and metamorphic rocks	Precambrian		

**Table 1**. Lithostratigraphy and ages of various lithostratigraphic units in the Pranhita-Godavari Basin (data from Kutty et al.1987, Lakshminarayana 1996 and Sen Gupta 2003)

#### BRYOPHYTES

No macrofossils belonging to this group have been recorded yet. Spores are well represented and belong to members of the Anthocerataceae (Foraminisporis Krutzsch), Sphaerocarpaceae (Aequitriradites Delcourt and Sprumont, emend. Cookson and Dettmann, Coptospora Dettmann), Reillaceae (Cooksonites Pocock, Rouseisporites Pocock, Staplinisporites Pocock) and Sphagnaceae (Stereisporites Pflug) (Ramanujaum & Rajeshwar Rao 1979, Prabhakar 1987). This group is less diverse than the other spore-producing plants such as pteridophytes (Tab. 3), but is well represented quantitatively (Ramanujaum & Rajeshwar Rao 1979, Prabhakar 1987, present study).

#### PTERIDOPHYTES

Pteridophytes are an important component of the flora, and are represented by micro- and macroremains. Qualitatively and quantitatively the group is better represented in the microflora. Equisetaceae constitute a single genus *Equisetum* Linnaeus, an ancient genus comprising the sole extant representatives of the class Sphenopsida, the only class of the once-abundant and diverse subdivision Sphenophytina (Scagel et al. 1984). Mesozoic fossils with distinct ridges and grooves which resemble the extant *Equisetum* can be attributed to the fossil genus *Equisetites* Sternberg or *Neocalamites* Halle. No specimens in the material from the Pranhita-Godavari Basin showed details of the leaf sheath or nodal diaphragm, so they are determined as Sphenophyta gen. et sp. The specimens resemble *Equisetites rajmahalense* (Oldham & Morris) Schimper from the Rajmahal Formation. Spores attributable to this family were found but have not been identified yet.

Osmundaceae, with a global distribution during the Palaeozoic and Mesozoic, played an important role in the ancient flora (Tidwell & Ash 1994). Members of the family were the primary elements of the forest floor and contributed a major share of the total species diversity of ferns during the Mesozoic. The single genus Cladophlebis Brongniart and 5 species were recorded here as macrofossils (Tab. 2). All the species were preserved as small pinnae (Pl. 1, fig. 1); whole leaves are unknown. Pinnules were well preserved, with a distinct venation pattern. The microflora suggests relatively high taxonomic diversity and includes 5 genera: Baculatisporites Pflug and Thomson, Biformaesporites Singh, Biretisporites Delcourt



Fig. 3. Geological map of Pranhita-Godavari Basin around Gangapur village (after Kutty 1969)

and Sprumont) Delcourt et al., Osmundacidites Couper, and Todisporites Couper, with 7 species altogether (Tab. 3). Gleicheniaceae is another important Mesozoic fern family, here represented in the macro- and microfloras. The macroflora comprises the single genus *Gleichenia* Smith and 5 species. Microfossils are represented by 4 genera: Concavisporites Pflug, *Gleicheniidites* Ross, Ornamentifera Bolkhovitina and Plicifera Bolkhovitina, with 7 species altogether. Dicksoniaceae is represented by a single fragmentary specimen with partly preserved pinnules of the genus Coniopteris Brongniart, of unknown species affinity (Chinnappa 2016).

Many families such as Lycopodiaceae, Selaginellaceae, Ophioglassaceae, Schizaeaceae, Marsileaceae, Cyatheaceae and Polypodiaceae are only represented in the microflora. Among these families, Schizaeaceae and Cyatheaceae show the highest species diversity (Tab. 3, Fig. 5). There are a few more pteridophytic spore taxa which cannot be placed in any family with confidence; they are placed under incertae sedis of pteridophytes (Tab. 3).

## GYMNOSPERMS

Gymnosperms are important components of the Mesozoic floral ecosystems, dominating until the Late Cretaceous (Vakhrameev 1991), but they began to decrease in diversity and abundance with the sudden appearance of angiosperms in the Early Cretaceous and their subsequent radiation in the Late Cretaceous (McLoughlin 2001, Friis et al. 2011). The group forms a major share of the studied flora.

#### PTERIDOSPERMS

Pteridosperms are rare components of the flora, with only the single genus *Pachypteris* (Brongniart) Harris and 4 species: *P. gangapurensis*, *P. specifica*, *Pachypteris* cf. *specifica* and *Pachypteris* sp. (Tab. 2). The cuticle in *P. gangapurensis* Sukh-Dev and Rajanikanth (1988) shows papillate and highly cutinized epidermal cells with sunken stomata, restricted to the lower surface of the leaf, and the cuticle is thicker on the upper side of the leaf. The microfossils of this group have not been identified yet.

#### **CYCADOPHYTES**

Taeniopteris leaves are one of the most common elements of the Early Cretaceous floras of India and southern Gondwanan localities (Sahni 1948, Harris 1962, Drinnan & Chambers 1985, Howe & Cantrill 2001). They have been reported from almost all Early Cretaceous sequences of India (Bose & Banerji 1981). The systematic position of such leaf types is often 
 Table 2. Taxonomic composition of Early Cretaceous microflora from Pranhita-Godavari Basin

\_\_\_\_\_

BRYOPHYTES	Gleicheniaceae	Marsileaceae			
Foraminisporis assymetricus	Concavisporites sp.	Crybelosporites punctatus			
Foraminisporis wonthaggiensis	Gleichinidites senonicus	Cyatheaceae/Dicksoniaceae			
Aequitriradites spinulosus	Gleichinidites sp.	Kuylisporites lunaris			
Aequitriradites verrucosus	Ornamentifera baculata	Kuylisporites sp.			
Coptospora cutchensis	Ornamentifera echinata	Concavissimisporites punctatus			
Coptospora sp.	Ornamentifera sp.	Concavissimisporites variverrucatus			
Cooksonites minor	Plicifera sp.	Cyathidites asper			
Cooksonites variabilis	Matoniaceae	Cyathidites australis			
Cooksonites sp.	Dictyophyllidites sp.	Cyathidites concavus			
Rouseisporites sp.	Matonisporites phlebopteroides	Cyathidites minor			
Steriosporites antiquasporites	Schizaeaceae	Cyathidites ghuneriensis			
Steriosporites psudoclavatus	Cicatricosisporites augustus	Cyathidites sp.			
Steriosporites psilatus	Cicatricosisporites australiensis	Deltoidospora juncta			
Steriosporites caminus	Cicatricosisporites dorogensis	Deltoidospora diaphana			
	Cicatricosisporites gangapurensis	Deltoidospora sp.			
PTERIDOPHYTES	Cicatricosisporites hallei	Leptolepidites major			
Lycopodiaceae	Cicatricosisporites hughesii	Leptolepidites sp.			
Lycopodiacidites asperatus	Cicatricosisporites imbricatus	Triletes tuberculiformis			
Lycopodiacidites irregularis	Cicatricosisporites lodbrokiae	Polypodiaceae			
$Ly copodia cidites\ austroclavidites$	Cicatricosisporites mohrioides	Metamonoletes haradensis			
Lycopodiumsporites crassimacerius	Cicatricosisporites verrumuratus	Metamonoletes crassilabrum			
Lycopodiumsporites nodosus	Cicatricosisporites sp.	Metamonoletes sighii			
Lycopodiumsporites reticulumsporites	Contignisporites cooksonii	Metamonoletes sp.			
Lycopodiumsporites trambauensis	Contignisporites crassicingulatus	Monolites grandis			
$Sest rosporites\ pseudoalveolatus$	Contignisporites dettmannae	Monolites indicus			
Selaginellaceae	Contignisporites dorsostriatus	Polypodiisporites multiverrucosus			
Ceratosporites couliensis	Contignisporites fornicatus	Pteridophytes – Incertae sedis			
Ceratosporites equalis	Contignisporites glebulentus	Apiculatisporites sp.			
Densoisporites sp.	Contignisporites multimuratus	Boseisporites insignatus			
Neoraistrickia neozealandica	Contignisporites psilatus	Boseisporites jabalpurensis			
Neoraistrickia rallapetensis	Impardecispora adilabadensis	Callisporites potoniaei			
Neoraistrickia truncatus	Impardecispora apiverrucata	Conosmundasporites sp.			
Neoraistrickia sp.	Impardecispora croassus	Coniavisporites minimolivisus			
Ophioglossaceae	Impardecispora purverulentus	Crassimonoletes surangei			
Foveosporites sahnii	Impardecispora marylandensis	Crassimonoletes minor			
Osmundaceae	Impardecispora sp.	Dityophyllidites sp.			
Baculatisporites comaumensis	Ischyosporites createris	Dictyotosporites ilosus			
Baculatisporites rotundus	Ischyosporites punctatus	Lematatriletes mesozoicus			
Biformaesporites sp.	Ischyosporites pusillus	Leschikisporites indicus			
Biretisporites spectabilis	Klukisporites foveolatus	Polycingulatisporites clavus			
Osmundacidites singhii	Klukisporites scaberis	Polycingulatisporites reduncus			
Osmundacidites wellmanii	Klukisporites areolatus	Polycingulatisporites reduncus			
Todisporites sp.	Schizosporis regulatus	Undulatisporites venkatachalai			
CVANNOCDEDWC					

## GYMNOSPERMS

Cycadophytes	Podocarpaceae	Platysaccus densus	Cedripites nudis
Cycadopites couperi	Callialasporites enigmaticus	Platysaccus sp.	Laricoidites indicus
Cycadopites fragilis	Callialasporites baculosus	Podocarpidites ellipticus	Laricoidites sp.
Cycadopites gracilis	Callialasporites rudisaccus	Podocarpidites major	Psilospora lata
Cycadopites nitidus	Callialasporites crassimarginatus	Podocarpidites minisulcus	Abiespollenites triangularis
Monosulcites ellipticus	Callialasporites circumplectus	Podocarpidites multesimus	Abiespollenites sp.
Araucariaceae	Callialasporites dampieri	Podocarpidites novus	Odontochitina operculata
Araucariacites australis	Callialasporites discoidalis	Podocarpidites vermiculatus	Properinopollenites singhii
Araucariacites ghuneriensis	Callialasporites doeringii	Podosporites tripakshii	Exesipollenites crassimarginatus
Araucariacites sp.	Callialasporites lamataensis	Incertae sedis	
Cheirolepidiaceae	Callialasporites reticulatus	Alisporites grandis	
Classoidites glandis	Callialasporites rimalis	Alisporites ovalis	
Classoidites glanris	Callialasporites segmentatus	Alisporites rotundus	
Classoidites belloyensis	Callialasporites triletus	Alisporites sp.	
Classoidites classoides	Callialasporites trilobatus	Dacrycarpites australiensis	
Classoidites indicus	Microcachryidites antarcticus	Granuloperculatipollis mundus	
Classoidites obidesensis	Platysaccus bhardwajii	Vitreisporites pallidus	
Classoidites pflugii			

PTERIDOPHYTES	GYMNOSPERMS		
Equisetaceae	Pteridospermaleans	Coniferaleans	
Equisetites sp.	Pachypteris gangapurensis	Elatocladus andhrii	
Osmundaceae	Pachypteris specifica	Elatocladus bosei	
Cladophlebis denticulata	Pachypteris cf. specifica	Elatocladus confertus	
Cladophlebis indica	Pachypteris sp.	Elatocladus heterophylla	
Cladophlebis kathiawarensis	Bennettitaleans	Elatocladus jabalpurensis	
Cladophlebis sp.	Cycadolepis sp.	Elatocladus kingianus	
Cladophlebis sp. A	Dictyozamites gondwanensis	Elatocladus plana	
Cladophlebis sp. B	Otozamites sp.	Elatocladus sehoraensis	
Gleicheniaceae	Pterophyllum medlicottianum	Elatocladus sp.	
Gleichenia bosahii	Ptilophyllum acutifolium	Pagiophyllum burmense	
Gleichenia gelichenoides	Ptilophyllum cutchense	Pagiophyllum marwarensis	
Gleichenia nordenskioldii	Ptilophyllum distans	Pagiophyllum peregrinum	
Gleichenia rewahensis	Ptilophyllum horridum Roy	Pagiophyllum rewaensis	
Gleichenia sp.	Ptilophyllum rarinervis	Pagiophyllum spinosum	
Gleichenia sp. A	Ptilophyllum sp.	Pagiophyllum sp.	
Dicksoniaceae	Ptilophyllum sp. A	Allocladus bansaensis	
Coniopteris sp.	Nilssonia sp.	Araucarites cutchensis	
Coniopteris sp. A	? Anomozamites sp.	Pagiophyllum minutus	
Onychiopsis psilotoides	Cycadophyta Incertae sedis	Araucarites sp.	
Incertae sedis	Taeniopteris kutchense	Brachyphyllum sehoraensis	
Actinopteris sp.	Taeniopteris spatulata	Brachyphyllum sp.	
Sphenopteris sp.	Taenopteris cf. daintreei	Incertae sedis	
	Taenopteris sp. A	Coniferocaulon rajmahalense	
	Taenopteris sp.	Conites sripermaturensis	
	Taxaleans	Harrisiophyllum lanceolatus n. sp.	
	Arthrotaxites feistmantelii	Pityospermum sp.	
	Taxites lanceolata	Angiosperms	
	Torreyites sitholeyi	Sahniophyllum indica	

Table 3. Taxonomic composition of Early Cretaceous macroflora from Pranhita-Godavari Basin

problematic, especially when they are known only from impressions. *Taeniopteris* leaves have been attributed to several groups such as pentoxylaleans, cycadaleans or bennettitaleans (Sahni 1948, Vishnu-Mittre 1957, Harris 1962, Bose et al. 1985). Such leaves have also been shown to belong to pteridophytes (Webb 1983). When these leaves are found to be associated with pentoxylean reproductive material of *Cornoconites*, they are placed in the same group (e.g. Howe & Cantrill 2001). When they are preserved with cuticle it is possible to show their relation to other plant groups (Harris 1962). In material from the studied locality the leaves are known only as impressions, with no cuticles or associated reproductive structures having been found to date.

Leaves of this type are represented here by 5 species (Tab. 2). Among them, T. spatulata McClelland was considered to belong to the pentoxyleae (Vishnu-Mittre 1953). In a revision of the Indian species of *Taeniopteris*, Bose and Banerji (1981) considered all those species to be cycadophytes. This determination cannot be considered fully valid because the cuticular morphology is unknown. There is another species which resembles T. daintrii known from

Australia (e.g. Drinnan & Chambers 1985), Antarctica (Cesari et al. 1998, Howe & Cantrill 2001), where it was placed in the Pentoxylales. However, the treatment of *Taeniopteris* under pentoxyleae from Australia and especially from Antarctica is equivocal because the anatomical features of the material from these localities have never been interpreted (Sharma 2001). Because the presently studied leaves are preserved as impressions, the systematic affinity of the genus is uncertain; it is safer to treat them under incertae sedis within the gymnosperms.

## BENNETTITALEANS

Bennettitaleans are major components of Early Cretaceous floras. Harris (1969) and Watson and Sincock (1992) proposed a number of criteria to distinguish bennettitalean foliage types, according to which 3 genera were identified in the Pranhita-Godavari Basin: *Ptilophyllum* Morris, *Pterophyllum* Brongniart and *Dictyozamites* Oldham. Among these, *Ptilophyllum* foliage is commonest and 5 species have been recorded to date (Tab. 2), of which *P. acutifolium* (Morris) Bose and Kasat,



Plate 1. 1. Ptilophyllum acutifolium (Morris) Bose & Kasat; 2. P. cutchense (Morris) Bose & Kasat; 3. Taxites lanceolata Ganju;
4. Taeniopteris spatulata (McClelland) Bose & Banerji; 5. Detached strobili; 6. Pagiophyllum marwarensisi Bose & Sukh-Dev;
7. Harrisiophyllum sp.; 8. Pagiophyllum cf. burmense Sahni; 9. Elatocladus andhrensis Chinnappa et al.; 10. Elatocladus andhrensis with strobili. Scale bars 0.5 cm

and *P. cutchense* (Morris) Bose and Kasat are more frequent. It has been a common practice to assign specimens lacking cuticles but showing acute or round pinnae apices to *P. acutifolium* and *P. cutchense*. This biased taxonomic treatment of many specimens probably has led to underestimation of the taxonomic diversity of the genus. *Dictyozamites* and *Pterophyllum* are represented by single species each. Specimens belonging to these genera are rarely encountered and are represented in the flora by only a few fragmentary leaves (Ramanujam et al. 1987, Sukh-Dev & Rajanikanth 1988).

The other bennettitalean foliage known in the flora consists of isolated and partly preserved leaves referred to ?*Anomozamites* sp. (Bose et al. 1982), *Cycadites* sp. (King 1881) and *Otozamites* sp. (Tripathi 1975), but their identification was uncertain. The figured specimen of ?*Anomozamites* sp. is a very poorly preserved fragmentary leaf of *Taeniopteris*. Tripathi (1975) listed *Otozamites* sp. and *Nilssonia* sp., but did not describe or figure them. The records of *Otozamites* sp. and *Nilssonia* sp. are doubtful and may have been misidentified, as suggested by Ramanujam et al. (1987).

Epidermal structures are known in specimens of *Ptilophyllum distans* (Feistmantel) Bose and Kasat, *P. horridum* (Roy) Bose and Kasat (Bose et al. 1982) and *Dictyozamites gondwanensis* Sukh-Dev and Rajanikanth (1988). These foliage types are characterized by slightly sunken hypostomatic stomata, subsidiary cells that are slightly more cutinized than normal cells, and epidermal cells with trichomes and papillae.

Little fertile bennettitalean material is known from Gondwana floras to date (Cantrill 2000). A report of *Cycadolepis* sp., generally considered to represent a bract from the base of a female cone (Harris 1969, Cantrill 1997), is the only reported fertile bennettitalean material from the present flora (Pal et al. 1985).

#### CONIFERS

Conifer remains are a significant component of the flora and constitute 10 genera: Allocladus Townrow, Araucarites Presl, Brachyphyllum Brongniart, Pagiophyllum Heer, Elatocladus Halle, Arthrotaxites Unger, Torreyites Seward, Coniferocaulon Fliche, Conites Sternberg and Pityospermum Nathorst (Tab. 2). Leafy axes belonging to Elatocladus of Podocarpaceae predominate in terms of diversity and abundance. Nine species have been identified in the genus (Tab. 2); among them, E. confertus (Oldham & Morris) Halle is extremely rich. This foliage type is one of the most common in the Early Cretaceous of the Southern Hemisphere (Cantrill 1997, Rees & Cleal 2004, McLoughlin 1996, Chinnappa et al. 2014). Leaf shoots of *E. confertus* and *E. andhrensis* Chinnappa et al. with attached fertile strobili are of special interest (Bose et al. 1982, Sukh-Dev & Rajanikanth 1988, Chinnappa et al. 2014). The spirally borne, loosely arranged strobili of E. confertus were considered to represent female organs (Sukh-Dev & Rajanikanth 1988), whereas the strobili in E. andhrensis are considered to be male organs on the basis of their structure and arrangement (Chinnappa et al. 2014). Attempts to isolate pollen from these strobili have failed, but these strobili suggest podocarpaceous affinity, at least for the Indian material. In addition to strobili with organic connection, a large number of isolated strobili were found (Pal et al. 1988, Sukh-Dev & Rajanikanth 1988, Chinnappa et al. 2014, 2015). Similar foliage with attached fertile cones is also known from the President Head flora, but these cones are taxodiaceous (Cantrill 1997).

Cuticles are known in specimens of *Elatocladus kingianus* Bose et al. (1982) and *Elatocladus* sp. A Sukh-Dev and Rajanikanth (1988). The former has cuticles of almost the same thickness on both sides of the leaf, but in the latter it is thicker on the upper side. Stomata are hypostomatic in both species and the guard cells are thinly cutinized; they are sunken in *E. kingianus* but exposed in *Elatocladus* sp. A.

Pollen allied to the Podocarpaceae is known under 5 genera: Callialasporites Sukh-Dev, Microcachrydites Cookson, emend. Couper, Platysaccus Naumova, emend. Potonié, Podocarpidites Cookson, emend. Couper and *Podosporites* Rao. Both qualitatively and quantitatively, it forms a large part of the microflora. Callialasporites with 14 species shows the highest diversity. The quantitative representation of the genus is also very high, accounting for more than 20% of the pollen spectra (Prabhakar 1987). Followed by this are the genera *Podocarpidites* (6 species) and *Platysaccus* (4 species) and the other genera are represented by single species (Tab. 3).

The next important conifer components of the flora are *Brachyphyllum* and *Pagiophyllum*,

two typical and widespread Early Cretaceous foliage types. *Pagiophyllum* is more frequent and includes 6 species (Tab. 2). Specimens of P. marwarensis Bose and Sukh-Dev are also known through cuticles (Bose & Sukh-Dev 1972). The cuticle is equally thick on both sides of the leaf, the stomata are amphistomatic and sunken, and the guard cells are thinly cutinized. A hypodermis is present on both sides of the leaf. Foliage of Brachyphyllum, which includes 3 species, does not occur consistently in the flora (Tab. 3). Among the 3 species, B. sehoraensis Bose and Maheshwari shows the cuticular structure. The cuticle is thicker on the lower than on the upper side of the leaf, the stomata are restricted to the lower side and are deeply sunken, the guard cells are thinly cutinized, and the subsidiary cells are slightly more cutinized than normal cells. Both of these foliage types are usually found associated on the same slab along with *Ptilophyllum* foliage, and rarely with *Elatocla*dus (Chinnappa et al. 2015).

The taxonomic relation of *Pagiophyllum* and *Brachyphyllum* is somewhat unclear at family level. The majority of the species in these genera have been shown to fall within the Podocarpaceae and Araucariaceae (Harris 1979), but a few species of these fossil genera have been connected to the Cheirolepidiaceae (see Tosolini et al. 2013). Bose and Maheshwari (1975) placed the Indian records of these genera under the Araucariaceae; this seems plausible, as these leaf axes were frequently found associated with cone scales (Araucarites) of Araucariceae (Sukh-Dev & Rajanikanth 1988). However, the presence of Cheirolepidiaceae pollen Classopollis in the same association (Prabhakar 1987, Ramanujam et al. 1987) complicates the issue. One explanation is that the leaf types of Pagiophyllum and Brachyphyllum possibly pertain to both families. Given the range of morphological similarities between the Cheirolepidiaceae and Araucariceae, detailed SEM studies of the cuticles are required to properly distinguish the families. Here we tentatively place these taxa under Araucariceae after Bose and Maheshwari (1975).

Other taxa from the presently studied flora that can be considered under the Araucariceae are *Allocladus bansaensis* Sukh-Dev and Zeba-Bano (Sukh-Dev & Rajanikanth 1988), *Araucarites cutchensis* Feistmantel and *A. minutes* Bose and Maheshwari. The fossil genus Araucarites is considered to represent an oviliferous cone scale of Araucariaceae. Ovuliferous scales with a single ovule/seed and free distal ligule indicate affinity with the Araucariaceae (Cleal & Rees 2003). Although the specimens in the studied flora do not show any ligule, they show clear traces of the presence of a single ovule/seed. The lack of a ligule is probably due to the preservational limitations. Pollen belonging to the Araucariaceae is known under *Araucariacites* Cookson and includes 3 species (Tab. 2).

The Cheirolepidiaceae form an important component of the flora but is known here only by pollen of *Classopollis* Pflud emend. Pocock and Jansonius and Classoidites van Amerom. These pollen are abundant in the Early Cretaceous sequence of India (e.g. Ramanujam & Rajeshwar Rao 1979, Venkatachala & Sinha 1986) and other Gondwanan and non-Gondwanan land masses (Vakrameev 1991). Here they are represented by 6 and one species respectively (Tab. 2). Classopollis-like pollen is known to be produced by a wide variety of fossil taxa such as *Pagiophyllum* and *Brachyphyllum*, both non-frenelopsid (e.g. Otwayia) and frenelopsid (e.g. Frenelopsis and Pseudofrenelopsis) (Kendall 1949, Couper 1955, Venkatachala 1966, Srivastava 1976, Tosolini et al. 2013). The Cheirolepidiaceae affinity of foliages of nonfrenelopsid type and frenolopsid type, and of a few forms of Pagiophyllum- and Brachyphyl*lum*-type, is well established (see Tosolini et al. 2013). Nevertheless, many species of *Pagiophyllum* and *Brachyphyllum* are placed under Podocarpaceae and Araucariaceae (Harris 1979). Records of *Classopollis* are rich in Early Cretaceous sediments of India, but nothing is known about their parent plants. The pollen has been recovered mostly from the same sediments from which the species of Pagiophyllum and Brachyphyllum are known (Ramanujam & Rajeshwar Rao 1979), but in India such pollen has never been recovered from in situ cones attached to Pagiophyllum or Brachyphyllum. In light of the uncertainties about the taxonomy of this foliage in India (see discussion above), further evidence is required to establish the parent taxa of Classopollis pollen in India.

Taxaleans are comparatively rare in the present floras of *Arthrotaxites*, *Taxites* and *Torreyites*, each represented by a single species (Tab. 2). Like the living genus, *Athrotaxites* can be referred to the family Cupressinaceae

by some authors but the affinities are not quite clear, and its assignment to the Taxodineae must be regarded as provisional (Sahni 1928). Vegetative shoots bearing spirally disposed and distichously placed linear leaves, resembling in habit those of Taxus and other taxalean members, are generally placed under Taxites and Torreyites (Seward 1919), but Seward also stated that in the absence of reproductive organs it is impossible to determine the precise position of shoots of this common form, and these generic names do not imply any direct relation with extant members. The records of taxalean woods (taxaceoxylon) in India during the Mesozoic (Rajanikanth & Sukh-Dev 1989) suggest such relations. Hence we believe that Arthrotaxites, Taxites and Torrevites were possibly produced by the Taxaleans as suggested by Sahni (1928).

There are 4 coniferoid taxa with unknown affinity: *Conites* sripermaturensis Sahni, Coniferocaulon rajmahalense Gupta, Harrisiophyllum sp. and Pityospermum sp. A specimen of Coniferocaulon rajmahalense is preserved as a long axis with irregular grooves and ridges, and is assumed to represent the stem of a coniferous plant (Sukh-Dev & Rajanikanth 1988). It is 150 mm long and 130 mm wide, suggesting a shrubby habit for the parent plant. Similarly, the exact affinities of the other taxa are not certain. The detached fructifications of Conites undoubtedly belonged to conifers (Sahni 1928). Pityospermum with a basal seed and attached wing resembles Abietineous seeds (Seward 1919). With the available information, the placement of this fossilgenus within the conifers in a broad sense is justified, although it is not possible to confirm its relation with the Pinaceae. The foliage type of Harrisiophyllum is generally believed to be produced by conifers (Pant et al. 1983).

## ANGIOSPERMS

Angiosperm macrofossil remains have not been reliably reported to date from the Early Cretaceous sequences of India, although there have been a few claims (Sahni 1932, Sharma 1997, Banerji 2000); later studies rejected their affinity with the angiosperms (Bose & Sah 1954, Srivastava & Krassilov 2012). However, the reports of pollen of angiosperms from Early Cretaceous sequences of India clearly indicate their occurrence (Mehrotra et al. 2012). The Early Cretaceous macrofossil assemblages from the Pranhita-Godavari Basin include a single species, *Sahniophyllum indica* (Chinnappa 2016), with ribbon-shaped leaves and parallel venation indicating their affinity with the monocotyledons.

## FLORAL DIVERSITY

## MACROFLORA

The macroflora from the Early Cretaceous sediments of the Pranhita-Godavari Basin comprises 29 genera and 69 species (Tab. 2). The plants represented here are pteridophytes, pteridosperms, gymnosperms and angiosperms. The species diversity of the various plant groups at order/family level is shown in Figure 4. Pteridophytes show high diversity, with 6 genera and 18 species, constituting 28% of total species diversity. Gymnosperms are a dominant component, accounting for 72% of the floral diversity. Conifers contribute a major (40%) share, with 12 genera and 28 species. Other members of the gymnosperms such as possible pentoxylean/cycadalean taxa (Taeniopteris) represent 7% of species diversity, with one genus and 5 species. Bennettitaleans form 19% of the flora, with 4 genera and 13 species altogether. Pteridosperms are less represented, with a single genus with 4 species, forming 6% of total species diversity. Angiosperms are minor components of the flora, with a single genus and species, representing 2% of total species diversity.

#### MICROFLORA

The species diversity of various plant groups represented in the microflora diverges from that of the macroflora. Importantly, bryophytes, which are missing in the macroflora, are known from the microflora in 6 genera and 14 species, representing 8% of total species diversity (Tab. 3; Fig. 5). The taxonomic diversity of various plant groups is well represented overall; that of pteridophytes is especially well represented with 45 genera and 104 species, accounting for 60% of total species diversity (Tab. 3, Fig. 5). Many pteridophytic families such as Lycopodiaceae, Selaginellaceae, Ophioglasaceae, Matoniaceae, Schizeaceae, Marsileaceae, and Polypodiaceae



Plate 2. 1. Cladophlebis kathiawarensis Roy; 2. Cladophlebis sp. B; 3. Gleichenia rewahensis Pant & Srivastava; 4. Gleichenia sp. A; 5. Cladophlebis sp. A; 6. Gleichenia nordenskioldii Herr; 7. Pachypteris cf. specifica (Feistmantel) Bose & Banerji;
8. Torreyites sitholeyi Ganju; 9. ?Coniferous root; 10. Pityospermum sp.; 11. Taeniopteris cf. daintreei McCoy. Scale bars 0.5 cm

are added to the flora through their records of microflora. Gymnosperms, with 20 genera and 57 species contributes 33% of total species diversity. The preserved taxa are allied to Cycadophyta, Podocarpaceae, Araucariaceae, and an unknown gymnosperm. Pollen related to the pteridosperms and angiosperms were not recorded.

#### TAPHONOMY

## COMPARISON OF MICRO- AND MACROFLORAS

There is a consistent disproportion between the composition of the microflora and the macroflora drawn from sediments of the geological past. Large discrepancies can be regularly seen in the diversity and abundance patterns of the micro-and macrofloras. The same is true here also, with many families (e.g. Osmundaceae and Gleicheniaceae; also see Tables 2 and 3 for comparison) which are taxonomically well represented in the microflora but are poor in the macroflora. Similarly, while the microflora contains representatives of the Lycopodiaceae, Selaginellaceae, Ophioglasaceae, Matoniaceae, Schizeaceae, Marsileaceae, and Polypodiaceae, they are totally absent from the macroflora. Moreover, within a single flora, the abundance pattern of various members also greatly varies; for example, gymnosperms are well preserved, but pteridosperms and pteridophytes are poorly preserved and bryophytes are absent from the macroflora. Similarly, conifer pollen dominates the pollen spectra quantitatively.

Divergence between micro- and macrofloras can be explained in terms of the diversity and abundance patterns of a flora, and also in terms of the taphonomy and preservation potential of the various plants (Spicer 1991). Our analysis of the studied flora indicates that the differences between the micro- and macrofloras in the Pranhita-Godavari Basin are due largely to taphonomy.

The poor representation of bryophytes in the macrofossil record was once linked to rapid decomposition and low preservation due to the fragility of the plants. Decomposition is high even in bogs, and may result in the loss of over 90% of the total annual productivity of a bog (Reader & Stewart 1972). Experimental studies by Hemsley (2001), however, indicated that the preservation potential of bryophytes is as good as that of vascular plants, as suggested by the resilient chemistry of their cell walls. Nevertheless, the record of the group is very limited, and its scarcity in the fossil record may be due to difficulties in identification (as experienced in this study), with many examples being mistaken for other plant groups such as various assignments to *Naiadita*, a Triassic liverwort (Harris 1939). Although the group produces characteristic and preservable spores, they are often produced in such a small quantity and so close to the ground that they are rarely found and recognized in pollen analysis (Hartman et al. 2002).

#### NATURE OF DEPOSITION

The macroflora studied here consists of various plant organs such as ?roots, leaves, leafy axes, and reproductive parts (cones, strobili, winged seeds). Leaves predominate. The various degrees of destruction and fragmentation of the recovered fossil material suggest that they were transported before their burial (Spicer 1991), but fragmentation in fossil floras also depends on other factors such as water quality, the nature and rate of sedimentation, the presence and number of biological agents, as well as certain characteristics of the leaves themselves (Ferguson 1985, Rich 1989, DiMichele & Gastaldo 2008). Elatocladus specimens are the best-preserved plant remains among all the plant taxa. Branched specimens with attached leaves and reproductive cones (Pl. 2, Fig. 10) suggest that this species was less affected by transport. Taxa preserved with cuticles also suggest rapid burial. Brachyphyllum and Pagiophyllum are largely represented by small fragmentary leaf axes (Pl. 2, Figs 6-8), suggesting a strong effect of transport. Ptilophyllum includes specimens with various degrees of fragmentation, but in most cases the leaves are preserved for almost their entire length. A few of the leaves are preserved with petiole and cuticle, suggesting rapid burial with little transport. The ovuliferous cone scales are well preserved as impressions with a clear seed mark and neck, but their ligule is shed, probably due to mechanical damage. Other taxa such as Allocladus and Torreyites are known only by small fragmentary leaf axes, suggesting long-distance transport. Taeniopteris is preserved mostly along its entire length, but often lacking the base and apex. Both parts of leaves broken into two halves were often found



Fig. 4. Diversity pattern of Early Cretaceous macroflora from Pranhita-Godavari Basin (E – Equisetaceae, O – Osmundaceae, G – Gleicheniaceae, D – Dicksoniaceae, IP – Incertae sedis in pteridophyta, Cr – Corystospermaceae, Cy – Cycadaceae, W – Williamsoniaceae, A – Araucariaceae, P – Podocarpaceae, T – Taxaceae, IC – Incertae sedis in conifers, An – Angiosperms)



Fig. 5. Diversity pattern of Early Cretaceous microflora from Pranhita-Godavari Basin (Br – Bryophytes, Ly – Lycopodiaceae, Se – Selaginellaceae, Op – Ophioglossaceae, O – Osmundaceae, Gl – Gleicheniaceae, Mt – Matoniaceae, Sc – Schizaeaceae, Mr – Marsileaceae, D – Dicksoniaceae, Pl – Polypodiaceae, IP – Incertae sedis in pteridophyta, Cy – Cycadaceae, IG – Incertae sedis in gymnosperms)

lying close together on the same slab (Pl. 2, Fig. 4), suggesting minimal movement prior to burial, but these leaves were never found preserved with the cuticle. This may be related to their systematic affinities and the possession of very delicate cuticles. Pteridophytes are preserved mostly as isolated fragmentary pinnae. Simple and delicate ferns are more prone to fragmentation even when subjected to slight external force. Experimental observations have indicated that the maximum distance travelled by any given leaf material in fluvial settings is less than 1.5 km (Ferguson 1985, Spicer 1991). Although the vegetation preserved in fluvial settings is non-indigenous and transported from other sites, the transport distance is particularly critical. Leaves in particular cannot be transported long distances before they are destroyed, so they are generally deposited fairly close to their source (Ferguson 1985, Rich 1989, Gastaldo 1988, Greenwood 1991). The recovered leaves include compound leaves and rachises with intact leaflets, already detached from the stem, suggesting their rapid burial and minimal transport (Krassilov 1975, Ferguson 1985).

The microflora assemblages include spores/ pollen of bryophytes, pteridophytes and gymnosperms. Spores and pollen are generally assumed to withstand long-distance transport better than leaves and other macrofossil remains (Behrensmeyer et al. 1992, Gastaldo 1992). Saccate pollen in particular can be transported further distances than non-saccate pollen and spores (Hartman et al. 2002). The distance of spores and pollen transport also depends on the height of the parent plant; the greater the height of the parent plant, the greater the advantage in interacting with air currents, leading to spread over a broader area than for spores/pollen of low-growing herbaceous species (Prabhakar 1987, Spicer 1991, Gastaldo 1992). Gymnosperms generally attain greater height. They include large shrubs and trees which can disperse pollen a longer distance. Therefore, some of the pollen-producing plants, particularly the saccate-pollen-producing conifers, can be assumed to have grown a little further away from the depositional site, possibly representing allochthonous components of the flora. In contrast, bryophytes and pteridophytes mostly include herbs and small shrubs; spores produced by them usually are deposited in and around the original site of growth (Prabhakar 1987, Hartman et al. 2002). Even allowing that some fern spores may have been transported from distant areas, the relative abundance of fern taxa indicates that the plants that produced them were indigenous.

The types and states of preservation of these plants allow us to infer the distance between the sedimentary basin and the source area. Based on the above discussion, the distances to which various members of the flora were transported can reasonably be inferred. Within the coniferous taxa, some species of *Elatocladus* found with intact branching and strobili underwent minimal transport and therefore lived close to the sedimentary basin. Some species found as highly damaged specimens, indicating long-distance transport, probably occupied valley settings. The segregation of Elatocladus species into two distinct assemblages, one with a few fern representatives and the other with winged seeds and members of Taxaceae, also supports this conjecture. Other coniferous taxa such as Pagiophyllum and Brachyphyllum, found as specimens with substantial fragmentation, probably grew some small distance from the depositional site, but the preserved cuticle in a few taxa suggests rapid burial. Similarly, Ptilophyllum and Taeniopteris, based on their preservation state, probably grew within the depositional basin and mostly are para-autochthonous elements. Ferns are represented chiefly by isolated pinnae but we consider them to be local elements in light of the above-mentioned conditions of taphonomy and preservation. The bryophytes, although absent from the macroflora, are present in the microflora and are considered local elements. We conclude that the flora includes both local and regional components that are para-autochthonous to allochthonous. The presence of root impressions (probably in growth positions characteristic for an undisturbed environment, Pl. 2, Fig. 9) and wellpreserved leaves and other organs in abundance, with minimal destruction, supports this interpretation. Ramamohana Rao et al. (2003) sedimentological analysis also suggested short transport and rapid deposition.

## PALAEOECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Bryophytes and pteridophytes mostly preferred to grow near the waterbody, as they needed water or moist conditions for reproduction. Spores and leaves of these groups are mostly found associated with mudstone/carbonaceous shale. This sedimentological association indicates that they preferred overbank or riverbank to swampy habitat (Boggs 2006). The majority of Jurassic-Cretaceous pteridophytes/ferns are considered elements of moist lush vegetation (Harris 1961), often occurring near riverbanks or under forest canopy (Pelzer et al. 1992, Van Konijnenburg-van Cittert 2002, Abbink et al. 2004). These plants are generally thought to attain high abundance under humid conditions. For ferns this is reflected in a correlation between high spore abundance and lithology indicative of moist environments (Maheshwari & Jana 2004). It is reasonable to conclude that these plants were growing as ground cover near waterbodies and under the shade of large shrubs and trees (Fig. 6).

Species of *Pachypteris* of the Corystospermaceae (pteridosperms) are though to have produced large bushes that may have formed mangrove-like thickets along river mouths inundated by tides (Vakhrameev 1991, Banerji 2004). The presence of a thick cuticle and sunken stomata suggests xeromorphic or halophytic adaptations (Barbacka 1994, Thevenard et al. 2005).

The gymnosperms include a range of taxa affiliated with various groups. *Taeniopteris* leaves are recovered mostly from siltstone and in rare cases are associated with massive mudstone/clay beds. These sedimentological associations suggest that the plant bearing *Taeniopteris* leaves mostly inhabited river banks and floodplain areas distal to the river channel. The leaves are thin and broad, suggesting a sufficiency of water. Similar habitats for leaves of this type are also known from Early Cretaceous sediments of Antarctica (Howe & Cantrill 2001).

The bennettitaleans were represented by *Ptilophyllum*, *Pterophyllum*, and *Dictyozamites*, but only the former was common; the others were sporadic. The cuticles of these plants, where available, are rather thick and have sunken stomata, and the epidermal cells possess trichomes and papillae. All these features point to some sort of water stress on the plants. However, sedimentological evidence and palaeoecological considerations do not favour a palaeobotanical interpretation of environmental aridity in the Pranhita-Godavari Basin during the Early Cretaceous. The bennettitaleans are generally thought to grow in lowland where there is enough groundwater for plant growth (Krassilov 1975, Vakhrameev 1991, Pott & McLoughlin 2014, Pott et al. 2014). The sedimentological association of these plants, mostly with laminated siltstone, suggests that these taxa preferred floodplains such as lowland adjacent to the river channel (Fig. 6). A sedimentological study by Lakshminarayana (2001) suggested that there were frequent floods in the Pranhita-Godavri Basin during the Early Cretaceous, which must have occasionally inundated the flora growing in lowland. Prolonged inundation affects the physicochemical properties of the soil and results in flood stress (Junk et al.

2010), which strongly affects plant growth. The hydrological conditions of the floodplain are unfavourable for plant growth, so these taxa tend to exhibit morphological as well physiological adaptations, and many of these adaptations in fact resemble xeromorphic features (Medina 1983, Waldhoff 2003, Waldhoff & Parolin 2010). Seasonal floods with waterlogging (floodplain habitat) may follow a dry season in which the habitat can become extremely arid (Kubitzki 1989, Parolin et al. 2010). Xeromorphic characters may help a plant to cope both with an insufficiency of water during the aquatic phase and with periods of occasional drought in the terrestrial phase (Parolin et al. 2010).

The conifers include members of the Araucariaceae, Podocarpaceae and Taxaceae. The Araucariaceae include *Allocladus*, *Pagiophyllum*, *Brachyphyllum* and *Araucarites*. The epidermal structures in *Pagiophyllum* and *Brachyphyllum* show xeromorphic features such as sunken stomata and the presence of a hypodermis, but their association with foliage of *Ptilophyllum* and *Elatocladus* suggests lowland, possibly swampy settings for these taxa (Fig. 6). The xeromorphic traits must have functioned to promote salt tolerance. Evidence from studies by Ramanujam (1980) and Vakhrameev (1991) also indicates that plants bearing leaves of Pagiophyllum and Brachyphyllum usually grew in lowlands and preferred cooler environments. The sedimentological association of these taxa with siltstone also suggests these plants occupied floodplain areas. Podocarpaceae is represented by *Elatocladus* with both slightly sunken and normal stomata, suggesting that the genus had a diverse distribution. The range of sedimentological associations of the species also suggests the plants inhabited a range of environments. The taxa are found



Fig. 6. Palaeoecological reconstruction of Early Cretaceous flora from Pranhita-Godavari Basin

associated with mudstone along with fern components in a few localities, and at other sites are associated with siltstone. Species of *Elatocladus* may have colonized backswamps. Similar habitats for leaves of this type are also known from Early Cretaceous sediments of Antarctica (Cantrill & Falcon-Lang 2001) and Jurassic sediments of southern Hungary (Barbacka 2011). The palaeoecological preferences of *Taxites* and *Torreyites* of Taxaceae are not well understood, due to the paucity of these fossils in the studied area, but the association of these taxa with *Elatocladus* suggests that their habitat preference may be similar to that of the podocarpeans.

Angiosperms are rare components of the flora, only a single taxon having been recorded (Chinnappa 2016). Its ribbon-shaped leaves suggest that it was aquatic and may have occupied stream margins.

Both the sedimentological evidence and the vegetation indicate a relatively humid climate phase; this is also supported by the abundance of spores of bryophytes and ferns. The total flora, with very diverse spores/pollen and foliage of bryophytes, pteridophytes, pteridosperms, gymnosperms, and angiosperms, suggests the prevalence of warm and humid climatic conditions.

## CONCLUSIONS

1. An integrated appraisal of the Early Cretaceous micro- and macrofloras from the Gangapur Formation, Pranhita-Godavari Basin, suggests a rich and diverse vegetation that included all the major plant groups.

2. Taphonomic considerations indicate that the flora includes both local and regional components. The regional elements apparently include members of bennettitalaens and conifers, and the local elements are bryophytes, pteridophytes, pteridosperms and pentoxyleans.

3. Palaeoecological considerations of the various plant taxa suggest that the flora was widely distributed on banks and floodplain areas.

4. Warm and humid paaleoenvironments are inferred on the basis of the composition of the flora and sedimentological information.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Prof. Sunil Bajpai, Director (Birbal Sahni Institute of Palaeosciences, Lucknow) for his encouragement and support, Dr. Maria Barbacka (Hungarian Natural History Museum, W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences), Mihai E. Popa (University of Bucharest) and Emese Bodor (Eötvös Loránd University) for constructive suggestions on the manuscript, and Dr. Christopher J. Cleal (National Museum Wales, UK) for suggestions and for attention to the language of an earlier draft of the paper.

## REFERENCES

- ABBINK O.A., VAN KONIJNENBURG-VAN CIT-TERT J.H.A. & VISSCHER H. 2004. A sporomorph ecogroup model for the Northwest European Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous: concepts and framework. Netherl. J. Geosci./Geol. Mijnbouw, 83: 17–38.
- BANERJI J. 2000. Occurrence of angiosperm remains in an Early Cretaceous intertrappean bed, Rajmahal Basin, India. Cretaceous Res., 21: 781–784.
- BANERJI J. 2004. Mesozoic megaflora of Kachchh Basin and its palaeoecological interpretation: 199–206. In: Srivastava P.C. (ed.), Vistas in palaeobotany and plant morphology: Evolutionary and Environmental perspectives – Prof Pant, D.D. memorial volume, U. P offset, Lucknow. India.
- BARBACKA M. & BODOR E. 2008. Systematic and palaeoenvironmental investigations of fossil ferns *Cladophlebis* and *Todites* from the Liassic of Hungary. Acta Palaeobot., 48(2): 133–149.
- BARBACKA M. 1994. *Pachypteris banatica* from the Mecsek Mountains Liassic. Acta Palaeobot., 34(1): 5–19.
- BARBACKA M. 2011. Biodiversity and the reconstruction of Early Jurassic flora from the Mecsek Mountains (southern Hungary). Acta Palaeobot., 51: 127–179.
- BEHRENSMEYER A.K., DAMUTH J., DIMICHELE W.A., POTTS R.H., SUES D. & WING S.L. 1992. Terrestrial Ecosystems through Time. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- BISWAS S.K. 2003. Regional tectonic framework of the Pranhita-Godavari Basin, India. J. Asian Earth Sci., 21: 543-551.
- BOGGS S. Jr. 2006. Principles of Sedimentology and Stratigraphy, fourth edition, Pearson education Inc. Upper Saddle Rivers, USA.
- BOSE M.N. & BANERJI J. 1981. Cycadophytic leaves from Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous rocks of India. Palaeobotanist, 28–29: 218–300.
- BOSE M.N. & MAHESHWARI H.K. 1975. Mesozoic conifers: 212–233. In: Surange K.R. et al. (eds), Aspects and appraisals of Indian Palaeobotany.
- BOSE M.N. & SUKH-DEV. 1972. Three new species of *Pagiophyllum* from Bansa, Madhya Pradesh, India. Geophytology, 1: 116–126.
- BOSE M.N., KUTTY T.S. & MAHESHWARI H.K. 1982. Plant fossils from Gangapur Formation. Palaeobotanist, 30: 121–142.

- BOSE M.N., PAL P.K. & HARRIS T.M. 1985. The Pentoxylon plant. Philos. T. Roy. Soc. London B, 310: 77–108.
- BOSE M.N. & SAH S.C.D. 1954. On Sahnioxylon rajmahalense, a new name for Homoxylon rajmahalense Sahni, and S. andrewsii, a new species of Sahnioxylon from Amrapara in the Rajmahal Hills, Bihar. Palaeobotanist, 3: 1–8.
- CANTRILL D.J. & FALCON-LANG H.J. 2001. Cretaceous (late Albian) coniferales of Alexander Island, Antarctica. 2. Leaves, reproductive structures and roots. Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 115: 119–145.
- CANTRILL D.J. 1997. Floristics of a Lower Cretaceous freshwater lake deposit from president head, Snow Island, South Shetland Islands: 1017–1022. In: Ricci C.A. (ed.), The Antarctica Region: Geological Evolution and processes Proceedings of the VII International Symposium of Antarctica Earth Science, Siena, Italy 1995. Terra Antarctica Publishers, Siena.
- CANTRILL D.J. 2000. A Cretaceous (Aptian) flora from President Head, Snow Island, Antarctica. Palaeontographica, B, 253: 153–191.
- CÉSARI S.N., PARICA C.A., REMESAL M.B. & SALANI F.M. 1998. First evidence of Pentoxylales in Antarctica. Cretaceous Res., 19: 733–743.
- CHINNAPPA C.H. 2016. Contribution to plant ecosystem of early Cretaceous sequences of east coast, India – floral diversification and ecological implications. Ph. D thesis, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, A. P., India.
- CHINNAPPA C.H., RAJANIKANTH A. & RAO Y.V. 2014. Gymnosperm fossils from the Gangapur Formation (early Cretaceous), of Adilabad District, Telangana. Geophytology, 44: 91–104.
- CHINNAPPA C.H., RAJANIKANTH A. & RAO Y.V. 2015. Early Cretaceous plant diversity and Ecology in the Krishna–Godavari Basin, East Coast of India. J. Palaeontol. Soc. India, 60: 73–96.
- CHINNAPPA C.H., RAJANIKANTH A. & RAO Y.V. 2016. Early Cretaceous floral diversity and ecology in the Pranhita-Godavari Basin, East Coast of India. J. Palaeontol. Soc. India, 61(2): 189–214.
- CLEAL C.J. & REES P.M. 2003. The Middle Jurassic flora from Stonesfield, Oxfordshire, UK. Palaeontology, 46: 739–801.
- COUPER R.A. 1955. Supposedly colpate pollen grains from the Jurassic. Geol. Mag., 92(7): 471–474.
- DIMICHELE W.A. & GASTALDO R.A. 2008. Plant paleoecology in deep time. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard., 95: 144–198.
- DRINNAN A.N. & CHAMBERS T.C. 1985. A reassessment of *Taeniopteris daintreei* from the Victorian early Cretaceous: a member of the Pentoxylales and a significant Gondwanaland plant. Aust. J. Bot., 33: 89–100.
- FEISTMANTEL O. 1879. The fossil flora of Upper Gondwanas, Outliers on the Madras Coast. Memoirs of the Geological Survey of India, Palaeont. Ind., Series 2: 191–224.

- FERGUSON D.K. 1985. The origin of leaf-assemblages – new light on an old problem. Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 46: 539–559.
- FRIIS E.M., CRANE P.R. & PEDERSEN K.R. 2011. Early Flowers and Angiosperm Evolution. Cambridge University Press.
- GASTALDO R.A. 1988. Conspectus of phytotaphonomy. Methods and applications of plant paleoecology: notes for a short course. Paleontol. Soc. Spec. Publ., 3: 14–28.
- GASTALDO R.A. 1992. Taphonomic considerations for plant evolutionary investigations. Palaeobotanist, 41: 211–223.
- GREENWOOD D.R. 1991. The taphonomy of plant macrofossils: 141–169. In: Donovan S.K. (ed.), The processes of fossilization. Belhaven Press, London.
- HARRIS T.M. 1939. *Naiadita*, a fossil bryophyte with reproductive organs. Ann. Bryol., 12: 57–70.
- HARRIS T.M. 1961. The Yorkshire Jurassic flora. 1. Thallophyta-Pteridophyta. Trustees of the British Museum (Natural History), London.
- HARRIS T.M. 1962. The occurrence of the fructification *Carnoconites* in New Zealand. Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand, Geology 1, 17–27.
- HARRIS T.M. 1969. The Yorkshire Jurassic Flora. III. Bennettitales. Trustees of the British Museum (Natural History), London.
- HARRIS T.M. 1979. The Yorkshire Jurassic flora V. Coniferales. Trustees of the British Museum (Natural History), London.
- HARTMAN J.H., JOHNSON K.R., NICHOLS D.J. (ed.). 2002. The Hell Creek Formation and the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary in the northern Great Plains: an integrated continental record of the end of the Cretaceous. Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap., 361.
- HEMSLEY A.H. 2001. Comparison of *in vitro* decomposition of bryophytic and tracheophytic plant material. Bot. J. Linn. Soc., 137: 375–384.
- HOWE J. & CANTRILL D.J. 2001. Palaeoecology and taxonomy of Pentoxylales from the Albian of Antarctica. Cretaceous Res., 22: 779–793.
- JUNK W.J. et al. 2010. Amazonian Floodplain Forests: Ecophysiology, Biodiversity and Sustainable Management Springer, New York.
- KENDALL M.W. 1949. On a new conifer from the Scottish Lias. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. Ser., 12(2): 299–308.
- KING W. 1881. The geology of the Pranhita–Godavari Valley. Memoirs of the Geological Survey of India, 18: 151–311.
- KRASSILOV V.A. 1975. Paleoecology of terrestrial plants. John Willey and Sons, New York.
- KUBITZKI K. 1989. The ecogeographical differentiation of Amazonian inundation forests. Plant Syst. Evol., 162: 285–304.
- KUTTY T.S. 1969. Some contributions to the stratigraphy of the Upper Gondwana Formations of the

Pranhita–Godavari Valley, central India. J. Geol. Soc. India, 10: 33–48.

- KUTTY T.S., JAIN S.L. & ROY CHOWDHURY T. 1987. Gondwana sequence of the northern Pranhita–Godavari Valley: its stratigraphy and vertebrate faunas. Palaeobotanist, 36: 214–229.
- LAKSHMINARAYANA G. 1995. Gondwana sedimentation in the Chintalapudi sub-basin, Godavari Valley, Andhra Pradesh, India. J. Geol. Soc. India, 46: 375–383.
- LAKSHMINARAYANA G. 1996. Stratigraphy and structural framework of the Gondwana sediments in the Pranhita–Godavari Valley, Andhra Pradesh. Gondwana Nine (1), Geol. Surv. India: 311–330.
- LAKSHMINARAYANA G. 2001. Evolution in basin fill style during the Mesozoic Gondwana continental break-up in the Godavari Triple junction, S.E. India. Gondwana Res., 5(1): 227–244.
- MAHABALE T.S. 1967. Mesozoic floras of India: The Kota–Maleri stage. Palaeobotanist, 15: 308–313.
- MAHESHWARI H.K. & JANA B.N. 2004. Spores and pollen of the *Ptilophyllum* Flora in Kutch Basin, India: 207–219. In: Srivastava P.C. (ed.), Vistas in palaeobotany and plant morphology: evolutionary and environmental perspectives Professor D.D. Pant Memorial Volume.
- MCLOUGHLIN S. 1996. Early Cretaceous macrofloras of Western Australia. Rec. West. Aust. Mus., 18: 19–65.
- MCLOUGHLIN S. 2001. The breakup history of Gondwana and its impact on pre-Cenozoic floristic provincialism. Aust. J. Bot., 49: 271–300.
- MEDINA E. 1983. Adaptations of tropical trees to moisture stress: 225–237. In: Golley F.B. (ed.), Ecosystems of the world: tropical rain forest ecosystems. Amsterdam: Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co.
- MEHROTRA N.C., SHANMUKHAPPA M., BABU R. KUMAR M., SINGH ALPANA, SINGH B.D. & KAPOOR P.N. 2012. Development of palynology in fossil fuel exploration in India with emphasis on recent significant contribution from Western-Offshore, Krishna-Godavari Basin and Frontier areas. Proc. Indian Nat. Sci. Acad., 78: 457–473.
- MURALIDHAR RAO G. & RAMAKRISHNA H. 1988. *Torreyites sitholeyi*, a new record from the Gangapur Formation of Andhra Pradesh. Current Sci., 57: 203–204.
- PAL A.K., DATTA P.M., BASU P.K., SHOME S. & GHOSH S.C. 1988. Cone bearing shoots of *Ela*tocladus Halle from Gangapur Formation (Lower Cretaceous) of Andhra Pradesh, India. Current Sci., 57: 141–142.
- PAL A.K., GHOSH S.C., DATTA P.M. & SHOME S. 1985. A new species of *Cycadolepis* Saporta from the Gangapur Formation, Andhra Pradesh, India. Proceedings of the IV Indian geophytological conference, Lucknow. Abst.
- PANT D.D., SRIVASTAVA G.K. & PANT R. 1983. On the cuticular structure of leaves of *Desmiophyllum* type from Bansa beds of India and their

assignment to the genus *Harrisiophyllum* gen. nov. Palaeontographica, B, 185: 38–55.

- PAROLIN P., LUCAS C., PIEDADE M.T.F. & WIT-TMANN F. 2010. Drought responses of flood-tolerant trees in Amazonian floodplains. Ann. Bot., 105: 129–139.
- PELZER G., RIEGEL W. & WILDE V. 1992. Depositional controls on the Lower Cretaceous Wealden coals of Northwest Germany. In: Parrish J.T. & McCabe P.J. (eds), Controls on the distribution and quality of Cretaceous coals. Geological Society of America Special Paper, 267: 227-244.
- POTT C. & MCLOUGHLIN S. 2014. Divaricate growth habit in Williamsoniaceae: Unravelling the ecology of a key Mesozoic plant group. Palaeobiodiv. Palaeoenvir., 94: 307–325.
- POTT C., GUHL M. & LEHMANN J. 2014. The Early Cretaceous flora from the Wealden facies at Duingen, Germany. Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 201: 75–105.
- PRABHAKAR M. 1987. Palynology of the Upper Gondwana Deposits of Rampur area, Pranhita–Godavari Basin, Andhra Pradesh, India. J. Palaeont. Soc. India, 32: 114–121.
- RAJANIKANTH A. & SUKH-DEV. 1989. The Kota Formation: Fossil flora and Stratigraphy. Geophytology, 19: 52–64.
- RAJANIKANTH A. 1996. Palaeobotany of Mesozoic Gondwana sediments of Pranhita–Godavari Basin. Gondwana Nine (1), Geol. Surv. India, 425–438.
- RAJESHWAR RAO P.V. & RAMANUJAM C.G.K. 1979. The genus *Contignisporites* from the Lower Cretaceous, Gangapur beds of Adilabad District, A. P. Geophytology, 9: 139–143.
- RAJESHWAR RAO P.V., RAMANUJAM C.G.K. & VERMA Y.N.R. 1983. Palynology of the Gangapur beds, Pranhita–Godavari Basin, Andhra Pradesh. Geophytology, 13: 22–45.
- RAMAKRISHNA H. & MURALIDHAR RAO G. 1986. *Pterophyllum medlicottianum* from the Gangapur Formation of Andhra Pradesh. Current Sci., 55: 1199–1200.
- RAMAKRISHNA H. & MURALIDHAR RAO G. 1991. Conites sripermaturensis from the Gangapur Formation, A. P. Journal of Swamy Botanical Club, 8: 113–114.
- RAMAKRISHNA H. & RAMANUJAM C.G.K. 1987. Palynoflora from Gangapur beds at Moar in Adilabad District, Andhra Pradesh. Indian J. Earth Sci., 14: 64–72.
- RAMAKRISHNA H., RAMANUJAM C.G.K. & PRA-BHAKAR M. 1985. Palynoassemblage of the Upper Gondwana deposits of Balhanpur area, Adilabad District, Andhra Pradesh. J. Palynol., 21: 126–132.
- RAMAMOHANARAO T., SAIRAM K., VENKATES-WARARAO B., NAGAMALLESWARARAO K.
  & VISWANATH K. 2003. Sedimentological characteristics and depositional environment of Upper Gondwana rocks in the Chintalapudi Sub-Basin

of the Godavari Valley, Andhra Pradesh, India. J. Asian Earth Sci., 21: 691–703.

- RAMANUJAM C.G.K. & RAJESHWAR RAO P.V. 1979. Palynological approach to the study of some Upper Gondwana clays at Ralpet near Asifabad in Adilabad District of Andhra Pradesh. Geol. Surv. India Miscell. Publ. 50: 45–60.
- RAMANUJAM C.G.K. & RAJESHWAR RAO P.V. 1980. Palynological evidence for the age of some Upper Gondwana deposits in Adilabad District of Andhra Pradesh. Proceedings of the IV International Palynological Conference, Lucknow: 386–391.
- RAMANUJAM C.G.K. 1980. Geological history of Araucariaceae in India. Botanique, 9: 1–2.
- RAMANUJAM C.G.K., MURALIDHAR RAO G. & RAMAKRISHNA H. 1987. Floristic and Stratigraphic significance of the Megafloral assemblage of Gangapur Formation in Andhra Pradesh. Gond. Geol. Mag., 2: 1–5.
- READER R.J. & STEWART J.M. 1972. The relationship between net primary production and accumulation for a peatland in southeastern Manitoba. Ecology, 1024–1037.
- REES P.M. & CLEAL C.J. 2004. Lower Jurassic floras from Hope Bay and Botany Bay, Antarctica. Spec. Pap. Palaeontol., 72: 1–90.
- RICH F.J. 1989. A review of the taphonomy of plant remains in lacustrine sediments. Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 58: 33-46.
- SAHNI B. 1928. Revisions of Indian fossil plants Pt. 1 Coniferales (a. impressions & incrustations). Memoirs of the Geological Survey of India, Palaeont. Indica n. Ser., 11: 1–49.
- SAHNI B. 1932. Homoxylon rajmahalense gen. et sp. nov., a fossil angiospermous wood, devoid of vessels, from the Rajmahal hills, Bihar. Mem. Geol. Surv. India, 20: 1–19.
- SAHNI B. 1948. The Pentoxyleae: a new group of Jurassic gymnosperms from the Rajmahal Hills of India. Botanical Gazette, 110: 47–80.
- SCAGEL R.F., BONDANI R.J., MAZE J.R., ROUSE G.E., SCHOFIELD W.B. & STEIN J.R. 1984. Plants an Evolutionary survey. Wadsworth Publishing Company, Belmont, CA.
- SEN GUPTA S. 2003. Gondwana sedimentation in the Pranhita-Godavari Valley: a review. J. Asian Earth Sci., 21: 633–642.
- SEWARD A.C. 1919. Fossil plants (Volume 4). Hafner Publications Company, New York.
- SHARMA B.D. 2001. Misinterpretations about the "Pentoxylae" – A Mesozoic gymnospermous group of plants. Palaeobotanist, 50: 255–265.
- SHARMA B.D. 1997. An early angiosperm fructification resembling *Lesqueria* Crane & Dilcher from the Rajmahal hills, India. Phytomorphology, 47: 305–310.
- SPICER R.A. 1991. Plant taphonomic processes: 74–111. In: Allison P.A. & Briggs D.E.G. (eds), Taphonomy releasing the data locked in the fossil record. Topics in Palaeobotany volume 9, Plenum Press, New York.

- SRIVASTAVA R. & KRASSILOV V.A. 2012. Revision of Early Cretaceous angiosperm remains from the Rajmahal Basin, India, with notes on the palaeoecology of the *Pentoxylon* plant. Cretaceous Res., 33: 66–71.
- SRIVASTAVA S.K. 1976. The fossil pollen genus Classopollis. Lethaia 9(4): 437–457.
- SUKH-DEV & RAJANIKANTH A. 1988. The Gangapur: Fossil flora and Stratigraphy. Geophytology, 18: 1–27.
- THEVENARD F., GOMEZ B. & DAVIERO-GOMEZ V. 2005. Xeromorphic adaptations of some Mesozoic gymnosperms. A review with palaeoclimatological implications. Comptes Rendus. Palevol, 4: 67–77.
- TIDWELL W.D. & ASH S.R. 1994. A review of selected Triassic to Early Cretaceous Ferns. J. Plant Res., 107: 412–442.
- TOSOLINI A.M.P., MCLOUGHLIN S., WAGSTAFF B.E., CANTRILL D.J. & GALLAGHER S.J. 2013. Cheirolepidiacean foliage and pollen from Cretaceous high-latitudes of southeastern Australia. Gondwana Res., 27: 960–977.
- TRIPATHI C. 1975. Observation on the Maleri–Kota beds of the Andhra Pradesh. Rec. Geol. Surv. India, 106(2): 1–12.
- VAKHRAMEEV V.A. 1991. Jurassic and cretaceous floras and climates of the earth. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- VAN KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT J.H.A. 2002. Ecology of some late Triassic to early Cretaceous ferns in Eurasia. Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 119: 113-124.
- VENKATACHALA B.S. & SINHA R.N. 1986. Stratigraphy, age and palaeoecology of Upper Gondwana equivalents of the Krishna–Godavari Basin, India. Palaeobotanist, 35: 22–31.
- VENKATACHALA B.S. 1966. Mesozoic operculate pollen and their morphology. Palaeobotanist, 15(2): 98–101.
- VISHNU-MITTRE. 1953. A male flower of the Pentoxyleae with remarks on the structure of the female cones of the group. Palaeobotanist, 2: 75–89.
- VISHNU-MITTRE. 1957. Studies of the fossil flora of Nipania (Rajmahal Series), India – Pentoxyleae. Palaeobotanist, 6: 31–49.
- WALDHOFF D. & PAROLIN P. 2010. Morphology and anatomy of leaves: 179–202. In: Junk W.J. et al. (eds), Amazonian Floodplain Forests: Ecophysiology, Biodiversity and Sustainable Management. Ecological Studies 210. Springer, New York.
- WALDHOFF D. 2003. Leaf structure in trees of Central Amazonian floodplain forests (Brazil). Amazoniana, 17: 451–469.
- WATSON J. & SINCOCK C.A. 1992. Bennettitales of the English Wealden. Monogr. Palaeontogr. Soc., 145: 1–228.
- WEBB J.A. 1983. A new plant genus, possibly a marattialean fern, from the Middle Triassic of eastern Australia. Memoirs of the Australasian Association of Palaeontologists, 1: 363–371.