A LIMIT HARVESTING PROBLEM OF POPULATION DYNAMICS WITH LOGISTIC TERM

 \mathbf{BY}

OANA CARMEN TARNICERIU

Abstract. In this paper, a nonlinear age-dependent population model with logistic term is considered. In the first part is reminded a large time behavior result, and next an optimal harvesting problem associated to a limit problem is investigated. Existence of an optimal control and necessary optimality conditions are established.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 35B40, 49J15, 92D25.

Key words: population dynamics, age-structured systems, asymptotic behavior, optimal control.

1. Formulation of the problem. The starting point is the following nonlinear age-structured population model

(1)
$$\begin{cases} y_t + y_a + \mu(a)y + \Phi(Y(t))y = -u(a)y, & (a,t) \in Q \\ Y(t) = \int_0^A y(a,t) \, da, & t \in (0,+\infty) \\ y(0,t) = \int_0^A \beta(a)y(a,t) \, da, & t \in (0,+\infty) \\ y(0,a) = y_0(a), & a \in (0,A), \end{cases}$$

where $Q = (0, A) \times (0, +\infty)$. Here $A \in (0, +\infty)$ represents the maximal age for the population species.

y(a,t) is the population density of age $a \in [0,A]$ at time $t \in [0,+\infty)$ and by y_0 we have denoted the initial distribution of densities.

The third equation in (1) describes the birth process and it is known as the *renewal law*; y(0,t) gives the density of the newborn population at

time t, and β is the fertility rate which depends in this case only on the age a. Therefore $\beta(a)y(a,t)$ stands for the density of newborns at time t, with parents of age a.

 μ is the mortality rate and depends also only on age. System (1) describes the evolution of an age-structured population which includes a logistic term depending on the total population density at moment t, Y(t). $\Phi(Y(t))$ represents an additional mortality rate caused by overpopulation. u is the harvesting effort (and depends on age) and u(a)y(a,t) gives the harvested population of age a at the moment t, while $\int_0^A u(a)y(a,t)da$ gives the total harvest at the moment t.

The goal of the paper is to find the harvesting effort (control)

$$u \in L^{\infty}(0, A), \quad 0 \le u(a) \le L$$
 a.e. in $(0, A),$

 $(L \in (0, +\infty))$ which maximizes

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^A u(a) y^u(a, t) \ da,$$

where y^u is the solution to (1) corresponding to u. In fact, we will show that this problem is equivalent to the following optimal harvesting problem:

(2)
$$\max_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \int_0^A u(a)\tilde{y}^u(a) \ da,$$

where $\mathcal{U} = \{v \in L^{\infty}(0, A); 0 \leq v(a) \leq L \text{ a.e. in } (0, A)\}$ denotes the set of admissible controls, and \tilde{y}^u is the nontrivial nonnegative solution to

(3)
$$\begin{cases} y'(a) + \mu(a)y(a) + \Phi(Y_0)y(a) = -u(a)y(a), & a \in (0, A) \\ Y_0 = \int_0^A y(a) \, da \\ y(0) = \int_0^A \beta(a)y(a) \, da. \end{cases}$$

The paper is structured as follows: in the next section we present an asymptotic behavior result; it will be shown that, under proper assumptions, the solution to (1) is stabilized toward the nontrivial nonnegative solution to (3).

Then we consider the optimal harvesting problem (2)-(3); we prove the existence of an optimal control and provide the necessary optimality conditions.

We mention that in the case without control, it has been proved that the system (1) has a unique nonnegative nontrivial solution (see e.g. [4]).

A large amount of papers has been devoted to optimal control problems for age-structured population dynamics, see e.g. [1], [5], [8], [7], [9], [12].

For the asymptotic behavior of the solutions to age-structured systems we refer to [2], [3], [6] and [11].

Here are the assumptions of this paper:

(A1)
$$\beta \in L^{\infty}(0, A), \beta(a) \ge 0 \text{ a.e. } a \in (0, A);$$

(A2)
$$\mu \in L^1_{loc}([0,A)), \ \mu(a) \geq 0 \text{ a.e. } a \in (0,A), \ \int_0^A \mu(a) \ da = +\infty;$$

(A3)
$$y_0 \in L^{\infty}(0, A), y_0(a) \ge 0 \text{ a.e. } a \in (0, A);$$

(A4) $\Phi: [0, +\infty) \mapsto [0, +\infty)$ is an increasing continuously differentiable function which satisfies $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{r \to +\infty} \Phi(r) = +\infty$;

(A5)
$$\int_0^A \beta(a) \exp\{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + L) ds\} da > 1.$$

2. Large time behavior of the solution. Let us notice that the solution to (1) is a separable one, i.e., y(a,t) = x(t)p(a,t), $(a,t) \in Q$, where p is the solution to

(4)
$$\begin{cases} p_t + p_a + \mu(a)p = -u(a)p, & (a,t) \in Q \\ p(0,t) = \int_0^A \beta(a)p(a,t) \ da, & t \in (0,+\infty) \\ p(a,0) = y_0(a), & a \in (0,A) \end{cases}$$

and x is the solution to

(5)
$$\begin{cases} x'(t) + \Phi(x(t)P(t))x(t) = 0, & t \in (0, +\infty) \\ P(t) = \int_0^A p(a, t) \, da \\ x(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that y is a solution to (1). Note that also the solution x depends on the control u trough the dependence of the function $\Phi(x(t)P(t))$. For every $u \in \mathcal{U}$ fixed, (4) has a unique nonnegative solution and (5) has a

unique Carathéodory solution (which is also nonnegative). Actually the solution p to (4) is given by

$$p(a,t) = \begin{cases} b(t-a) \exp\{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + u(s)) ds\}, & a < t \\ y_0(a-t) \exp\{-\int_0^t (\mu(a-t+s) + u(a-t+s)) ds\}, & a > t. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for a < t, the solution is written as

$$p(a,t) = b(t-a) \exp\{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + u(s)) ds\},\$$

where b satisfies the following Volterra equation

(6)
$$b(t) = F(t) + \int_0^t K(t-s)b(s) \ ds.$$

Here

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} \int_{t}^{A} \beta(a)e^{-\int_{0}^{t} (\mu(a-t+s)+u(a-t+s)) \ ds} y_{0}(a-t) \ da, t < A \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$K(t) = \begin{cases} \beta(t) \exp\{-\int_0^t (\mu(s) + u(s)) \ ds\}, & t < A \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have that $F \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $K \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and, consequently, $b \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$. The following result holds (see e.g. [10]):

Theorem 1. The solution b to (6) satisfies $b(t) = e^{\alpha^* t} b_0(t)$, $\forall t \in R^+$, where $\lim_{t\to\infty} b_0(t) = \bar{b}_0 \geq 0$ and α^* is the solution to the following equation:

$$\int_0^A \beta(a) \exp\{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + u(s) + \alpha) \ ds\} \ da = 1.$$

Taking into account the previous result, we obtain that, for a < t, the solution p can be written as

$$p(a,t) = e^{\alpha^*(t-a)}b_0(t-a)e^{-\int_0^a (\mu(s)+u(s)) ds},$$

and we can state the next result:

Theorem 2. In the case of non-trivial datum, i.e., $\bar{b}_0 > 0$, we infer that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|p(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(0,A)} = 0 \text{ if } \alpha^* < 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} ||p(t)||_{L^1(0,A)} = +\infty \text{ if } \alpha^* > 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} ||p(t) - \tilde{p}||_{L^2(0,A)} = 0 \text{ if } \alpha^* = 0,$$

where $\tilde{p} = \bar{b}_0 e^{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + u(s)) ds}$ is a nontrivial steady state solution to (4).

For more details and complete proofs of the previous theorems see [4]. Denoting by

$$R = \int_0^A \beta(a) e^{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + u(s)) \ ds} \ da,$$

the statements of Theorem 2 are equivalent to:

- If R < 1, then $||p(t)||_{L^{\infty}(0,A)} \to 0$, as $t \to +\infty$;
- If R > 1, then $||p(t)||_{L^1(0,A)} \to +\infty$, as $t \to +\infty$;
- If R = 1, then $||p(t) \tilde{p}||_{L^2(0,A)} \to 0$, as $t \to +\infty$, where \tilde{p} is the same as in Theorem 2.

Let now x be the unique Carathéodory solution to (5); obviously x is nonincreasing and nonnegative, therefore there exists $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) \in [0,1]$.

Analyzing the previous results, we can see that in the case R < 1, the solution converges to 0 as $t \to \infty$, even without the additional mortality rate expressed by $\Phi(Y(t))$. Therefore, in what follows we shall consider the assumption (A5) (which implies R > 1).

Theorem 3. If p_0 is a non-trivial datum and assumption (A5) is satisfied, then the solution y to (1) satisfies

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|y(t) - \tilde{y}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,A)} = 0,$$

where

$$\tilde{y}(a) = \exp\{-\alpha^* a\} \bar{b}_0 \exp\{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + u(s)) \ ds\} \frac{1}{h_0} \Phi^{-1}(\alpha^*),$$

 $a \in [0, A]$, is a stationary solution to (1) and $h_0 = \bar{b}_0 \int_0^A e^{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + u(s))} ds da$.

Proof. By (A5) we have that $P(t)=e^{\alpha^*t}h(t)$, $t \geq 0$, where $\lim_{t\to\infty}h(t)=h_0>0$. Then, the corresponding equation in x takes the form

$$x'(t) + \Phi(x(t)h(t)e^{\alpha^*t})x(t) = 0, \quad t > 0,$$

$$x(0) = 1$$
,

or, equivalently, taking $z(t) = e^{\alpha^* t} x(t)$ $(t \ge 0)$:

$$z'(t) = (\alpha^* - \Phi(h(t)z(t)))z(t), \quad t > 0,$$

$$z(0) = 1.$$

Obviously, z(t) > 0, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+$; in fact

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = z_0, \quad z_0 > 0,$$

where z_0 is the unique solution to $\alpha^* - \Phi(h_0 z_0) = 0$. Indeed, if we denote by z_h the unique solution to $\alpha^* - \Phi(hz) = 0$, and let $0 < h_1 < h_0 < h_2$; it follows that $z_{h_1} > z_0 > z_{h_2}$; as $\lim_{t \to \infty} h(t) = h_0$, it can be shown that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \operatorname{dist}(z(t), [z_{h_2}, z_{h_1}]) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = z_0,$$

where

$$z_0 = \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\alpha^*)}{h_0}.$$

Therefore we have obtained that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \tilde{y} \quad in \quad L^{\infty}(0, A),$$

where

$$\tilde{y}(a) = \exp\{-\alpha^* a\} \bar{b}_0 \exp\{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + u(s)) \ ds\} \frac{1}{h_0} \Phi^{-1}(\alpha^*),$$

 $a \in [0, A]$, is a stationary solution to $(2)_{1-3}$.

In fact (3) has only two nonnegative solutions, one of them being the trivial one (see [4] and [6]).

We mention that this asymptotic behavior result for the linear age structured population dynamics has been proved first in [6].

3. Optimal harvesting. Assume in addition that $y_0(a) > 0$ a.e. in (0, A). We shall consider next the optimal harvesting problem (2)-(3). First note that for every fixed $u \in \mathcal{U}$, the nontrivial and nonnegative solution to (3) is given by $\tilde{y}(a) = \tilde{y}(0)e^{-\int_0^a (u(s)+\mu(s)+\Phi(Y_0))\ ds}$, $a \in [0, A]$ and substituting this in the third equation in (3) we get

(7)
$$1 = \int_0^A \beta(a)e^{-\int_0^a (u(s) + \mu(s) + \Phi(Y_0)) ds} da.$$

We can solve equation (7) for the single variable Y_0 , and from

$$Y_0 = \int_0^A \tilde{y}(a) \ da = \tilde{y}(0) \int_0^A e^{-\int_0^a (u(s) + \mu(s) + \Phi(Y_0)) \ ds} \ da,$$

we get the initial value $\tilde{y}(0)$.

Existence of an optimal solution

Theorem 4. Problem (2)-(3) admits at least one optimal control.

Proof. Denote by $d = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} J(u)$, where $J(u) = \int_0^A u(a) \tilde{y}^u(a) \ da$ and \tilde{y}^u denotes the nontrivial and nonnegative solution to (3) corresponding to the control $u \in \mathcal{U}$. By a comparison result we get that $0 \leq J(u) \leq L \int_0^A \overline{y}(a) \ da$, where \overline{y} is the solution to (3) corresponding to $u \equiv 0$. Let now a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathcal{U}$ be such that $d - \frac{1}{n} < J(u_n) \leq d$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(0,A)$ it follows that is also bounded in $L^2(0,A)$, so we can take a subsequence (also denoted by $\{u_n\}$) such that $u_n \to u^*$ weakly in $L^2(0,A)$. Since \mathcal{U} is a convex and closed subset of $L^2(0,A)$, it is also weakly closed, therefore $u^* \in \mathcal{U}$. We have that

(8)
$$0 \le \tilde{y}^{u_n} \le \overline{y}$$
 a.e $a \in (0, A)$,

which implies that, on a subsequence, $\tilde{y}^{u_n} \to \tilde{y}^*$ weakly in $L^2(0, A)$ and $\tilde{y}^{u_n}(0) \to \gamma$ in **R**. Using a corollary to Mazur's theorem, we get that there exists a sequence \tilde{y}_n such that

$$\tilde{y}_n = \sum_{i=n+1}^{k_n} \lambda_i^n \tilde{y}^{u_i}, \qquad \lambda_i^n \ge 0, \qquad \sum_{i=n+1}^{k_n} \lambda_i^n = 1,$$

and $\tilde{y}_n \to \tilde{y}^*$ in $L^2(0,A)$. Let \tilde{u}_n be defined as

$$\tilde{u}_n(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sum_{i=n+1}^{k_n} \lambda_i^n y^{u_i}(a) u_i(a)}{\sum_{i=n+1}^{k_n} \lambda_i^n y^{u_i}(a)}, & \text{if } \sum_{i=n+1}^{k_n} \lambda_i^n y^{u_i}(a) \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } \sum_{i=n+1}^{k_n} \lambda_i^n y^{u_i}(a) = 0. \end{cases}$$

For these controls we have $\tilde{u}_n \in \mathcal{U}$. In the following, we shall denote by

$$Y_0^{u_i} = \int_0^A \tilde{y}^{u_i}(a) \ da,$$

and

$$Y_0^* = \int_0^A \tilde{y}^*(a) \ da.$$

Since $\tilde{y}^{u_n} \to \tilde{y}^*$ weakly in $L^2(0,A)$ it follows that $Y_0^{u_n} \to Y_0^*$. Let us consider now the system (3) corresponding to the controls u_i :

(9)
$$\begin{cases} (\tilde{y}^{u_i})' + \mu(a)\tilde{y}^{u_i} + \Phi(Y_0^{u_i})\tilde{y}^{u_i} = -u_i\tilde{y}^{u_i}, & a \in (0, A) \\ \tilde{y}^{u_i}(0) = \int_0^A \beta(a)\tilde{y}^{u_i}(a) \ da. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying the system (9) by λ_i^n and summarizing from n+1 to k_n , we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} (\tilde{y}_n)' + \mu(a)\tilde{y}_n + \sum_{n=1}^{k_n} \lambda_i^n \Phi(Y_0^{u_i}) \tilde{y}^{u_i} = -u_n \tilde{y}_n, & a \in (0, A) \\ \tilde{y}_n(0) = \int_0^A \beta(a) \tilde{y}_n(a) \ da. \end{cases}$$

The solution to the above system, \tilde{y}_n , is given by

$$\tilde{y}_n(a) = \tilde{y}_n(0)e^{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + \tilde{u}_n(s)) ds}$$

$$-\int_0^a e^{-\int_s^a (\mu(\tau) + \tilde{u}_n(\tau)) d\tau} \sum_{n=1}^{k_n} \lambda_i^n \Phi(Y_0^{u_i}) \tilde{y}^{u_i}(s) ds,$$

for $a \in [0, A]$. Passing to the limit, one obtain that

$$\tilde{y}^*(a) = \gamma e^{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + u^*(s)) ds} - \int_0^a e^{-\int_s^a (\mu(\tau) + u^*(\tau)) d\tau} \Phi(Y_0^*) \tilde{y}^*(s) ds,$$

a.e. $a \in (0, A)$. It follows immediately that there exists a representant of \tilde{y}^* in $L^2(0, A)$ continuous on [0, A] (also denoted by \tilde{y}^*) which verifies

$$\tilde{y}^*(a) = \tilde{y}^*(0)e^{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + u^*(s)) \ ds} - \int_0^a e^{-\int_s^a (\mu(\tau) + u^*(\tau)) \ d\tau} \Phi(Y_0^*) \tilde{y}^*(s) \ ds,$$

 $a \in [0, A]$, i.e. \tilde{y}^* is a nonnegative solution to (3), corresponding to u^* . We will prove immediately that this solution is nontrivial (i.e. $\tilde{y}^* = \tilde{y}^{u^*}$). We will show in addition that $\tilde{y}_n \to \tilde{y}^*$ in C([0, A]). This yields

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{y}_{n}(a) - \tilde{y}^{*}(a)| & \leq |\tilde{y}_{n}(0)e^{-\int_{0}^{a}(\mu(s) + \tilde{u}_{n}(s)) \ ds} - \tilde{y}^{*}(0)e^{-\int_{0}^{a}(\mu(s) + u^{*}(s)) \ ds}| \\ + & \left| \int_{0}^{a} \left[e^{-\int_{s}^{a}(\mu(\tau) + \tilde{u}_{n}(\tau)) \ d\tau} \sum_{n+1}^{k_{n}} \lambda_{i}^{n} \Phi(Y_{0}^{u_{i}}) \tilde{y}^{u_{i}}(s) \right. \right. \\ - & \left. e^{-\int_{s}^{a}(\mu(\tau) + u^{*}(\tau)) \ d\tau} \Phi(Y_{0}^{*}) \tilde{y}^{*}(s) \right] \ ds \right| \\ \leq & \left. e^{-\int_{0}^{a}(\mu(s) + u^{*}(s)) \ ds} |\tilde{y}_{n}(0) - \tilde{y}^{*}(0)| \right. \\ + & \left. \left. |y^{*}(0)| \left| e^{-\int_{0}^{a}(\mu(s) + \tilde{u}_{n}(s)) \ d\tau} \sum_{n+1}^{k_{n}} \lambda_{i}^{n} \Phi(Y_{0}^{u_{i}}) \tilde{y}^{u_{i}}(s) \right. \right. \\ + & \left. \int_{0}^{a} \left| e^{-\int_{s}^{a}(\mu(\tau) + \tilde{u}_{n}(\tau)) \ d\tau} \Phi(Y_{0}^{*}) \tilde{y}^{*}(s) \right| \ ds, \end{split}$$

for $a \in [0, A]$. Then taking $\sup_{a \in [0, A]}$ and having in mind the boundedness of the functions involved, we get that

$$\begin{split} &\sup_{a \in [0,A]} |\tilde{y}_n(a) - \tilde{y}^*(a)| \\ &\leq C_1 |\tilde{y}_n(0) - \tilde{y}^*(0)| + C_2 \left| e^{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + \tilde{u}_n(s)) \ ds} - e^{-\int_0^a (\mu(s) + u^*(s)) \ ds} \right| \\ &+ C_3 \int_0^A \left(|\Phi(Y_0^*)| \left| \sum_{n=1}^{k_n} \lambda_i^n \tilde{y}^{u_i}(s) - \tilde{y}^*(s) \right| \right. \\ &+ \left| \sum_{n=1}^{k_n} \lambda_i^n \tilde{y}^{u_i}(s) \right| |\Phi(Y_0^{u_i}) - \Phi(Y_0^*)| \right) \ ds, \end{split}$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3 are positive constants. Now, since $\tilde{u}_n \to u^*$, $\tilde{y}_n \to \tilde{y}^*$ in $L^2(0,A)$ and $Y_0^{u_n} \to Y_0^*$ in \mathbf{R} (which implies $\Phi(Y_0^{u_n}) \to \Phi(Y_0^*)$ due to the

continuity of Φ), it follows that $\tilde{y}_n \to \tilde{y}^*$ in C([0, A]). Actually, (3) has two nonnegative solutions: a trivial and a nontrivial one.

Since

$$J(\tilde{u}_n) = \sum_{i=n+1}^{k_n} \lambda_i^n J(u_i) \to d, \text{ as } n \to +\infty,$$

we conclude that $J(u^*) = d > 0$ and consequently that $\tilde{y}^* = \tilde{y}^{u^*}$.

Necessary optimality conditions. Let us denote by q the adjoint state, i.e., q satisfies:

(10)
$$\begin{cases} q' - \mu(a)q - \Phi(Y_0^*)q + \Psi = u^*(a)(1+q), & a \in (0,A) \\ \Psi = \beta(a)q(0) - \Phi'(Y_0^*) \int_0^A q(a)\tilde{y}^*(a) \ da \\ q(A) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where (u^*, \tilde{y}^*) is an optimal pair for (2)-(3) and $Y_0^* = \int_0^A \tilde{y}^*(a) \ da$. Then the necessary optimality conditions are given by:

Theorem 5. Let q the corresponding adjoint state (which exists and is unique). Then

(11)
$$u^*(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 1 + q(a) < 0 \\ L, & \text{if } 1 + q(a) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of the adjoint variable q can be proved via Banach's fixed point theorem.

Let $v \in L^{\infty}(0, A)$ such that $u^* + \varepsilon v \in \mathcal{U}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. From the optimality of u^* we get:

$$\int_0^A u^*(a)\tilde{y}^*(a) \ge \int_0^A (u^*(a) + \varepsilon v(a))\tilde{y}^{u^* + \varepsilon v}(a) \ da,$$

which implies that

(12)
$$\int_0^A u^*(a) \frac{\tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v}(a) - \tilde{y}^*(a)}{\varepsilon} da + \int_0^A v(a) \tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v}(a) da \le 0.$$

In order to prove the maximum principle, we need to prove first the following convergencies:

(13)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \tilde{y}^{u^* + \varepsilon v} = \tilde{y}^* \quad \text{in} \quad C([0, A]),$$

and

(14)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\tilde{y}^{u^* + \varepsilon v} - \tilde{y}^*}{\varepsilon} = z \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(0, A),$$

where z is the solution to

(15)
$$\begin{cases} z' + [\mu(a) + \Phi(Y_0^*)]z + \Phi'(Y_0^*)\tilde{y}^*Z = -u^*z - v\tilde{y}^*, & a \in (0, A) \\ Z = \int_0^A z(a) \ da \\ z(0) = \int_0^A \beta(a)z(a) \ da. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v}$ is the solution to

$$(16) \begin{cases} (\tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v})' + r(a)\tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v} = -(\varepsilon v + \Phi(Y_0^{u^*+\varepsilon v}))\tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v}, & a \in (0, A) \\ Y_0^{u^*+\varepsilon v} = \int_0^A \tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v}(a) \ da \\ \tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v}(0) = \int_0^A \beta(a)\tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v}(a) \ da, \end{cases}$$

where $r(a) = \mu(a) + u^*(a)$. This implies $0 < \tilde{y}^{u^*+L} \le \tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v}(a) \le \overline{y}(a)$, $a \in [0, A]$, (for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ small enough) and, since the sequence is uniformly equicontinuous, we get that there exists a sequence ε_n such that $\tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon_n v}$ converges uniformly to a limit \bar{y}^* . The uniform convergence of $\tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon_n v}$ also implies

$$Y_0^{u^*+\varepsilon v} = \int_0^A \tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v}(a) \ da \to \bar{Y}_0^* = \int_0^A \bar{y}^*(a) \ da, \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R}.$$

Writing the solution to (16), we get for every $a \in [0, A]$:

$$\tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v}(a) = \tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v}(0) \exp\{-\int_0^a r(s) \ ds\}$$

$$- \int_0^a \tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v}(s) [\Phi(Y_0^{u^*+\varepsilon v}) + \varepsilon v(s)] \exp\{-\int_s^a r(\tau) \ d\tau\} \ ds.$$

Then, passing to the limit we obtain that:

$$\bar{y}^*(a) = \bar{y}^*(0) \exp\{-\int_0^a r(s) \, ds\}$$

$$- \int_0^a \bar{y}^*(s) \Phi(\bar{Y}_0^*) \exp\{-\int_s^a r(\tau) \, d\tau\} \, ds, \ a \in [0, A],$$

(here $\bar{y}^*(a) \geq \tilde{y}^{u^*+L}(a)$, $\forall a \in [0,A]$), which is obviously the nontrivial nonnegative solution to (3) corresponding to u^* , i.e. $\bar{y}^* = \tilde{y}^*$. In order to prove the second assertion, let us denote by $z^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v} - \tilde{y}^{u^*} \right]$. Subtracting (3) from (16) and dividing by ε , we obtain that z^{ε} is solution to:

(17)
$$\begin{cases} (z^{\varepsilon})' + f(a)z^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\Phi(Y_0^{u^* + \varepsilon v}) - \Phi(Y_0^*) \right] \tilde{y}^{u^* + \varepsilon v} = -v \tilde{y}^{u^* + \varepsilon v}, \\ a \in (0, A) \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi(Y_0^{u^* + \varepsilon v}) = \int_0^A \tilde{y}^{u^* + \varepsilon v}(a) da$$

$$z^{\varepsilon}(0) = \int_0^A \beta(a) z^{\varepsilon}(a) da,$$

where $f(a) = \mu(a) + u^*(a) + \Phi(Y_0^*), a \in (0, A)$.

Let us take next $w_{\varepsilon} = z^{\varepsilon} - z$ and prove that $w_{\varepsilon} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in $L^2(0, A)$. Note that, due to the continuous differentiability of Φ , the following relation hold:

(18)
$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\Phi(Y_0^{u^* + \varepsilon v}) - \Phi(Y_0^*) \right] = \Phi'(Y_0^*) \int_0^A z^{\varepsilon}(a) \ da + \varphi(\varepsilon),$$

where $\varphi(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Subtracting now (15) from (17), we obtain that w_{ε} is the solution to

(19)
$$\begin{cases} w_{\varepsilon}' + f(a)w_{\varepsilon} + \Phi'(Y_0^*)\tilde{y}^* \int_0^A w_{\varepsilon}(a) \ da = F_{\varepsilon}(a), \quad a \in (0, A) \\ w_{\varepsilon}(0) = \int_0^A \beta(a)w_{\varepsilon}(a) \ da, \end{cases}$$

where we have denoted by

$$F_{\varepsilon}(a) = -(v(a) + \Phi'(Y_0^*) \int_0^A z(a) \ da) [\tilde{y}^{u^* + \varepsilon v}(a) - \tilde{y}^*(a)] - \varphi(\varepsilon) \tilde{y}^{u^* + \varepsilon v}(a).$$

Since $\tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v} \to \tilde{y}^*$ in C([0,A]), it is obvious that $F_{\varepsilon} \to 0$ in $L^{\infty}(0,A)$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Then we get that $w_{\varepsilon} \to w$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where w is the solution to:

(20)
$$\begin{cases} w' + f(a)w + \Phi'(Y_0^*)\tilde{y}^* \int_0^A w(a) \ da = 0, \quad a \in (0, A) \\ w(0) = \int_0^A \beta(a)w(a) \ da. \end{cases}$$

Actually, via Banach's fixed point theorem we infer that the above system has a unique solution. Therefore we have that $w_{\varepsilon} \to 0$ in $L^{\infty}(0, A)$, which concludes the proof of the second assertion.

Making now $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (12), and using that $\tilde{y}^{u^*+\varepsilon v} \to \tilde{y}^*$ in C([0,A]), and that $z^{\varepsilon} \to z$ in $L^2(0,A)$, we obtain that

$$\int_0^A u^*(a)z(a) \ da + \int_0^A v(a)\tilde{y}^*(a) \ da \le 0,$$

for all $v \in L^{\infty}(0, A)$ such that $u^* + \varepsilon v \in \mathcal{U}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Multiplying the first equation in (10) by z and integrating over (0, A) we get:

$$\int_0^A z(a) \left[q'(a) - \mu(a)q(a) - \Phi(Y_0^*)q(a) + \Psi \right] da = \int_0^A z(a)u^*(a)(1+q(a))da.$$

Integrating by parts, replacing z^\prime and eliminating the identical terms, it follows

$$\int_0^A z(a)u^*(a) \ da = \int_0^A v(a)\tilde{y}^*(a)q(a) \ da.$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\int_{0}^{A} \tilde{y}^{*}(a)(1+q(a))v(a) \ da \le 0,$$

for any $v \in L^{\infty}(0, A)$ such that $u^* + \varepsilon v \in \mathcal{U}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, which is equivalently to (11).

Corollary 6. By (10) and (11) it follows that the adjoint state satisfies

$$\begin{cases} q' - \mu(a)q - \Phi(Y_0^*)q + \beta(a)q(0) \\ -\Phi'(Y_0^*) \int_0^A q(a)\tilde{y}^*(a) \ da = L(1+q)^+, \quad a \in (0,A) \\ q(A) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the grant ID 381/2007, "Optimal control and stabilization of the continuous models of population dynamics".

REFERENCES

- 1. AINSEBA, B.; ANIŢA, S.; LANGLAIS, M. Optimal control for a nonlinear age structured population dynamics model, Electronic J. Diff. Eqs., 81 (2002), 1–9.
- 2. Aniţa, L.-I.; Aniţa, S. Asymptotic behavior of the solutions to semi-linear age-dependent population dynamics with diffusion and periodic vital rates, Math. Popul. Stud., 15 (2008), 114–122.
- 3. Anița, L.-I.; Anița, S.; Arnăutu, V. Global behavior for an age-dependent population model with logistic term and periodic vital rates, Appl. Math. Comput., 206 (2008), 368–379.
- 4. Anıţa, S. Analysis and Control of Age-Dependent Population Dynamics, Mathematical Modelling: Theory and Applications, 11, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.
- 5. Brokate, M. Pontriaghin's principle for control problems in age-dependent population dynamics, J. Math. Biol., 23 (1985), 75–101.
- CHAN, W.-L.; Guo, B.-Z. Global behaviour of age-dependent logistic population models, J. Math. Biol., 28 (1990), 225–235.
- FEICHTINGER, G.; TRAGLER, G.; VELIOV, V.M. Optimality conditions for agestructured control systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 288 (2003), 47–68.
- 8. Gurtin, M-E.; Murphy, L.F. On the optimal harvesting of persistant age structured populations, J. Math. Biol., 13 (1981), 131–148.
- 9. He, Z.R. Optimal harvesting of two competing species with age dependence, (English summary) Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 7 (2006), 769–788
- 10. IANNELLI, M. Mathematical theory of age-structured population dynamics, Applied Mathematics Monographs-C.N.R., Giardini Editori e Stampatori, Pisa, 1995.
- 11. Langlais, M. Large time behaviour in a nonlinear population dynamics problem with age-dependence and spatial diffusion, J. Math. Biol., 26 (1998), 319–346.
- 12. Murphy, L.F.; Smith, S.J. Optimal harvesting of an age-structured population, J. Math. Biol., 29 (1990), 77–90.

Received: 4.III.2009

Department of Sciences, University "Al.I. Cuza", Iaşi, 700506, ROMANIA tarniceriuoana@yahoo.co.uk