



Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences

<https://www.sciendo.com>

Good congruences on weakly U-abundant semigroups

Aifa Wang¹, Yiwen Li¹, Lili Wang^{1†}

¹ School of Science, Chongqing University of Technology, Chongqing 400054, People's Republic of China

Submission Info

Communicated by Juan Luis García Guirao

Received November 17th 2021

Accepted April 10th 2022

Available online May 20th 2022

Abstract

In this paper, we study the congruences on w-U-a semigroups with s-transversals. The g-congruences on a w-U-a semigroup with an s-transversal T_V are described abstractly by the e-triple, which consists of equivalences on the structure component parts I, T and Λ . Also, it is shown that the set of all g-congruences on this kind of semigroups forms a complete lattice.

Keywords: weakly U-abundant semigroup, Ehresmann transversal, congruence, equivalence triple

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 20M10.

1 Introduction

In 1982, Blyth and McFadden [2] first proposed the concept of inverse transversals. In 1993, Ei-Qallali [3] introduced ample transversals for abundant semigroups. Recently, Wang introduced E-transversals for E-semiabundant semigroups. According to Howie, the test of whether a structure theory for semigroups is truly satisfactory is to see if it could enable one to give an explicit description of the congruences, or not [6]. After the concept of inverse transversals was given, more and more authors initiated the study of congruencies for regular semigroups with inverse transversals and obtained some interesting results [1, 4, 10–12]. Recently, several researchers began to show an interest in congruences for abundant semigroups with ample transversals and obtained some meaningful results [8, 9, 15]. w-U-a semigroups (E-semiabundant semigroups) are a generalisation of an abundant semigroup, and include the class of abundant semigroups as a proper sub-class. The application of congruence theory on w-U-a semigroups is far more complex than that on regular (abundant) semigroups. For example, a homomorphic image of a w-U-a semigroup is not necessarily a w-U-a semigroup but a similar statement is true for a regular semigroup. This property happens to be very important in the study of semigroups. So we consider the g-congruences on a w-U-a semigroup with an s-transversal since this kind of congruence has the above-mentioned property [13].

[†]Corresponding author.

Email address: wllaf@cqut.edu.cn

In this paper, we provide a construction method for g -congruences on w - U - a semigroups with (C) and E-transversals, and prove that the set of all g -congruences on these kinds of semigroups is a complete lattice.

2 Preliminaries

Let $E(S)$ be the set of all idempotents of a semigroup S , and U be any subset of $E(S)$.

For any $a, b \in S$, define $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U$ on S are as follows:

$$a\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U b \text{ if and only if } (\forall e \in U) (ae = a \Leftrightarrow be = b);$$

$$a\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U b \text{ if and only if } (\forall e \in U) (ea = a \Leftrightarrow eb = b).$$

For $U = E(S)$, we use $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U$ for $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, respectively. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^* \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U$ and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^* \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U$. For $U = E(S)$ and the regular elements a and b , we have $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^* = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^* = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U$. S is called weakly U -abundant (w - U - a for short) if each $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U$ -class and each $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U$ -class of S contain an idempotent of U . We always write S_U instead of S . We call a w - U - a semigroup S_U satisfying the congruence condition (c-c) if $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U$ is a right congruence and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U$ is a left congruence ($\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U$ are not always right and left congruences, respectively); consequently, we call S_U a w - U - a with (C). Further, if U forms a semilattice, then we call S_U an Ehresmann semigroup (E-semigroup for short). For an E-semigroup S_U and for any $a \in S$, there exists a unique idempotent $e \in U$ such that $e\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U a$, and a unique idempotent $f \in U$ such that $f\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U a$. We denote e and f by a^* and a^+ , respectively.

For a semigroup denoted by another letter, say Q , we denote the set of its idempotents by $E(Q)$, the relations $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ on Q_U by $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U(Q)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U(Q)$, and the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -class and the $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -class of an element a by $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U^a(Q)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U^a(Q)$, respectively. The following results are immediately apparent from the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U$. Of course, a dual result holds for $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U$.

Lemma 1. [5] Let $a \in S$ and $e, f \in U \subseteq E(S)$. Then

$$1. e\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U a \Leftrightarrow (\forall f \in U) ae = a \text{ and } af = a \text{ implies } ef = e;$$

$$2. e\tilde{\mathcal{L}} f \Leftrightarrow e\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U f \text{ and } e\tilde{\mathcal{R}} f \Leftrightarrow e\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U f.$$

Lemma 2. [5] Let S_U be an E-semigroup. Then

$$1. (\forall a, b \in S)(ab)^* = (a^*b)^* \text{ and } (ab)^+ = (a^+b^+)^+;$$

$$2. (\forall a, b \in S)a\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U b \Leftrightarrow a^+ = b^+, a\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U b \Leftrightarrow a^* = b^*.$$

Let T_V be a w - V - a semigroup and S_U be a w - U - a semigroup. We can call T_V a w - V - a subsemigroup of S_U if $T \subseteq S$ and $V \subseteq U \cap T$. For any $a \in T$, if there exist $e, f \in V$ such that $e\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U a\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U f$, then we call T_V a $\sim$ - w - V - a subsemigroup of S_U .

Lemma 3. [14] If T_V is a $\sim$ - w - V - a subsemigroup of a w - U - a semigroup S_U , then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_V \cap (T \times T) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U \cap (T \times T)$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_V \cap (T \times T) = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U \cap (T \times T),$$

and hence, if S_U satisfies c-c, then, so does T_V .

Call a w - U - a subsemigroup T_V of a w - U - a semigroup S_U with (C) a E -subsemigroup if V forms a semilattice. Call a E -subsemigroup T_V of S_U with (C) an Ehresmann transversal (E -transversal for short) of S_U if $(\forall x \in S, \exists e, f \in U, \exists! \bar{x} \in T) x = e\bar{x}f$, where $x^+ \mathcal{L} e$ and $\bar{x}^* \mathcal{R} f$. Based on the work of Yang [14], x can be used to determine the e and f uniquely. So, we denote e by e_x and f by f_x and put $I = \{e_x \in S\}$ and $\Lambda = \{f_x \in S\}$.

Lemma 4. [14] Let S_U be a w - U - a semigroup with (C) and an E -transversal T_V . Then

1. if $x \in T$, then $\bar{x} = x$, $e_x = x^+$ and $f_x = x^*$;
2. if $x \in V$, then $\bar{x} = x = e_x = f_x$;
3. $I \cap \Lambda = V$;
4. $I = \{e \in U : (\exists \ell \in V) e \mathcal{L} \ell\}$ and $\Lambda = \{f \in U : (\exists h \in V) f \mathcal{R} h\}$;
5. $(\forall e \in I, \forall f \in \Lambda) e_e = e$ and $f_f = f$;
6. $(\forall e \in I, \exists! \ell \in V) e \mathcal{L} \ell$; $(\forall f \in \Lambda, \exists! h \in V) f \mathcal{R} h$.

A subset T of S is called a q -ideal (b -ideal) of S if $ST \cap TS \subseteq T$ ($TST \subseteq T$). For a w - U - a semigroup S_U , we have the following result.

Lemma 5. Let T_V be an E -transversal of a w - U - a semigroup S_U . Then T_V is a q -ideal of S_U if and only if T_V is a b -ideal of S_U .

Proof. Suppose that T_V is a q -ideal, $x \in TST$, then there exist $a, b \in T$, $c \in S$ such that $x = abc = ab \cdot c = a \cdot bc \in T$. Thus T_V is a b -ideal of S_U . Conversely, if T_V is a b -ideal of S_U , then, for any $x \in ST \cap TS$, there exist $a, b \in S$, $c, d \in T$ such that

$$x = ac = db = e_a \bar{a} f_a c = d e_b \bar{b} f_b = d^+ \cdot e_a \bar{a} f_a \cdot c \in T.$$

Thus T_V is a q -ideal of S_U . □

We call an E -transversal T_V of a w - U - a semigroup S_U a q -ideal E -transversal if T_V is a q -ideal of S_U . We call a q -ideal E -transversal T_V of a w - U - a semigroup S_U strong (s -transversal for short) if V is an order ideal of $E(T)$, and the idempotents of both IT and $T\Lambda$ are all sets of U .

Define φ and ψ as follows:

$$\varphi : I \rightarrow V, e \mapsto e\varphi = \ell, \text{ where } e \mathcal{L} \ell;$$

$$\psi : \Lambda \rightarrow V, f \mapsto f\psi = h, \text{ where } f \mathcal{R} h.$$

By Lemma 4, (6), both φ and ψ are well defined, and $\varphi|_V = \psi|_V = 1_V$.

Lemma 6. [14] Let T_V be a s -transversal of a w - U - a semigroup S_U with (C). Then:

1. $(\forall f \in V, \forall e \in I) fe \in \text{Reg}_U(S) \Rightarrow fe = f \cdot e\varphi$;
2. $(\forall e \in V, \forall f \in \Lambda) fe \in \text{Reg}_U(S) \Rightarrow fe = f\psi \cdot e$.

Let V be a semilattice and A and B be non-empty sets. We suppose that V , A and B are mutually disjoint. Putting $I = V \cup A$ and $\Lambda = V \cup B$, it is easy to see that $I \cap \Lambda = V$.

A (V, I, Λ) -system means that V, I, λ thus satisfying the conditions (B1)–(B3):

(B1) There exist two mappings $\varphi : I \rightarrow V$ and $\psi : \Lambda \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\varphi|_V = \psi|_V = 1|_V.$$

(B2) Mappings $\otimes : I \times V \rightarrow I$ and: $V \times \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ satisfying

$$(\forall s, t \in V, \forall x \in I) x \otimes (st) = (x \otimes s) \otimes t,$$

$$(\forall s, t \in V, \forall y \in \Lambda) (st) * y = s * (t * y).$$

(B3) For any $x \in I, y \in \Lambda, s \in V$, we have

$$x \otimes x\varphi = x, y\psi * y = y,$$

$$(x \otimes s)\varphi = x\varphi \otimes s = x\varphi \cdot s, (s * y)\psi = s * y\psi = s \cdot y\psi,$$

that is, $\varphi, \psi, \otimes, *$ are compatible.

In the rest of this paper, we use E to denote the set of all idempotents of W . For a semigroup S , we denote the set of all regular elements of S by $\text{Reg}(S)$, and define

$$V_U(a) = \{a' \in V(a) | aa', a'a \in U\} \text{ and } \text{Reg}_U(S) = \{a \in \text{Reg}(S) | V_U(a) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Lemma 7. [14] Suppose that there is a (V, I, Λ) -system, T_V is an E -semigroup in which V is an order ideal of $E(T)$, and P is a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix over T satisfying (denote $P_{f,e}$ by $[f, e]$)

$$(B4) \quad (\forall a, b \in V) a[f, e]b = [a * f, e \otimes b],$$

$$(B5) \quad (\forall f \in \Lambda, \forall e \in I) [f\psi, e\varphi] = f\psi \cdot e\varphi; f, f\psi = f\psi; [e\varphi, e] = e\varphi \text{ and}$$

$$[f\psi, e] \in \text{Reg}_V(T) \Rightarrow [f\psi, e] = f\psi \cdot e\varphi,$$

$$[f, e\varphi] \in \text{Reg}_V(T) \Rightarrow [f, e\varphi] = f\psi \cdot e\varphi.$$

Put

$$W = \{(e, x, f) \in I \times T \times \Lambda : e\varphi = x^+, f\psi = x^*\}$$

with a multiplication

$$(e, x, f)(g, y, h) = (e \otimes a^+, a, a^* * h)$$

where $a = x[f, g]y \in T$. Then W_E is a w - E -a semigroup with (C) and an s -transversal isomorphic to T_V . Conversely, every w - U -a semigroup S_U with (C) and an s -transversal T_V can be constructed in the above manner.

For other notations and terminologies given in this paper, the reader is referred to other papers in the literature [6, 13, 14].

3 Good congruences

In this section, we describe the g -congruences on a w - U -a semigroup S_U with (C) and an s -transversal T_V . Let V, A and B be mutually disjoint sets, $I = V \cup A$ and $\Lambda = V \cup B$ (hence $I \cap \Lambda = V$). Assume that V, I, Λ form an (V, I, Λ) -system. We first give the following definitions.

Definition 1. A congruence ρ on S_U is called a *good congruence* (g-congruence for short) if, for any $x, y \in T$, $(x, y) \in \rho$ implies $(x^+, y^+) \in \rho$ and $(x^*, y^*) \in \rho$; an equivalence ρ on $I[\Lambda]$ is called a *normal equivalence* (n-equivalence for short), if for all $m, n \in V$, $i \in I[j \in \Lambda]$, $m\rho n$ implies $(i \otimes m)\rho(i \otimes n)[(m * j)\rho(n * j)]$. Let ρ^I and ρ^Λ be n-equivalences on I and Λ , respectively, and ρ^T is a g-congruence on T . We call $(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)$ an *equivalence triple* (e-triple for short) on $I \times T \times \Lambda$ if the following conditions hold:

$$(C.1) \quad \rho^I|_V = \rho^\Lambda|_V = \rho^T|_V;$$

$$(C.2) \quad (\forall j \in \Lambda)(\forall e, g \in I)e\rho^I g \Rightarrow [j, e]\rho^T[j, g];$$

$$(C.3) \quad (\forall i \in I)(\forall f, h \in \Lambda)f\rho^\Lambda h \Rightarrow [f, i]\rho^T[h, i].$$

Define a relation $\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}$ on the semigroup W in Lemma 7 by

$$(e, x, f)\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}(g, y, h) \Leftrightarrow e\rho^I g, x\rho^T y, f\rho^\Lambda h.$$

Theorem 1. Let W be a w-U-a semigroup S_U with (C) and a s-transversal T_V as in Lemma 7, and $(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)$ be an e-triple on T . Then $\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}$ is a g-congruence on W .

Conversely, every g-congruence on W can be constructed in the above-mentioned manner.

Proof. For any e-triple $(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda) \in W$. It is clear that $\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}$ is an equivalence. Supposing that $(i, z, j), (e, x, f), (g, y, h) \in W$, and $(e, x, f)\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}(g, y, h)$, then, by (*), $x\rho^T y$, $e\rho^I g$ and $f\rho^\Lambda h$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}(i, z, j)(e, x, f) &= (i \otimes m^+, m, m^* * f), \\ (i, z, j)(g, y, h) &= (i \otimes n^+, n, n^* * h),\end{aligned}$$

where $m = z[j, e]x$ and $n = z[j, g]y$. Moreover, we have $[j, e]\rho^T[j, g]$, since $e\rho^I g$, (C.2) and $x\rho^T y$, and so $m\rho^T n$. On the other hand, since ρ^T is a g-congruence, we have $m^+\rho^T n^+$ and so, by (C.1), we get $m^+\rho^I n^+$. Therefore, $(i \otimes m^+)\rho^I(i \otimes n^+)$. Similarly, we can verify $(m^* * f)\rho^\Lambda(n^* * h)$. Thus, we have the following relation

$$(i \otimes m^+, m, m^* * f)\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}(i \otimes n^+, n, n^* * h),$$

that is to say,

$$(i, z, j)(e, x, f)\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}(i, z, j)(g, y, h).$$

Further, we can prove $(e, x, f)(i, z, j)\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}(g, y, h)(i, z, j)$ dually. So, to summarise, we proved that $\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}$ is a congruence.

Supposing that $(x^+, x, x^*), (y^+, y, y^*) \in W'$ and $(x^+, x, x^*)\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}(y^+, y, y^*)$, then by (*)

$$x^+\rho^I y^+, x\rho^T y, x^*\rho^\Lambda y^*.$$

Moreover, we have $x^+\rho^T y^+$ since ρ^T is a g-congruence, and so by (C.1), $x^+\rho^\Lambda y^+$. Thus, we have the following relation

$$(x^+, x^+, x^+)\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}(y^+, y^+, y^+).$$

Similarly, we have the relation

$$(x^*, x^*, x^*)\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}(y^*, y^*, y^*).$$

To sum up, it follows that $\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}$ is a g-congruence.

Conversely, let ρ be a g-congruence on W . Define the equivalences on I , Λ and T , respectively:

$$(E1) (\forall e, g \in I, e\varphi = x^+, g\varphi = y^+) e\rho_I g \Leftrightarrow (e, x^+, x^+) \rho (g, y^+, y^+);$$

$$(E2) (\forall f, h \in \Lambda, f\psi = x^*, h\psi = y^*) f\rho_\Lambda h \Leftrightarrow (x^*, x^*, f) \rho (y^*, y^*, h);$$

$$(E3) (\forall x, y \in T, x\rho_T y \Leftrightarrow (x^+, x, x^*) \rho (y^+, y, y^*).$$

We have demonstrated that ρ_I and ρ_Λ are equivalences on I and Λ , respectively, and that ρ_T is a g-congruence on T since ρ is a g-congruence on W .

For any $i, k \in V$, if $i\rho_I k$, then we have $(i, i, i), (k, k, k) \in T$ and $(i, i, i) \rho (k, k, k)$. Moreover, we have $(e, x^+, x^+) (i, i, i) \rho (e, x^+, x^+) (k, k, k)$ since it is a g-congruence, and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(e \otimes (x^+ [x^+, i] i)^+, x^+ [x^+, i] i, (x^+ [x^+, i] i)^* * i \right) \rho \\ & \left(e \otimes (x^+ [x^+, k] k)^+, x^+ [x^+, k] k, (x^+ [x^+, k] k)^* * k \right). \end{aligned}$$

That is to say,

$$(e \otimes i, x^+ i, x^+ i) \rho (e \otimes k, x^+ k, x^+ k).$$

Thus we get $(e \otimes i) \rho_I (e \otimes k)$ and so ρ_I is an n-equivalence. By a similar argument, ρ_Λ it is also an n-equivalence.

Further we have the cases 1–3:

Case 1. Obviously, $\rho_I|_V = \rho_\Lambda|_V = \rho_T|_V$. So (C.1) holds.

Case 2. For any $e, g \in I$, if $e\varphi = x^+, g\varphi = y^+$ and $e\rho_I g$, then, by (E1), we have $(e, x^+, x^+) \rho (g, y^+, y^+)$. So, for any $(z^*, z^*, j) \in W$, we have

$$(z^*, z^*, j) (e, x^+, x^+) \rho (z^*, z^*, j) (g, y^+, y^+).$$

That is to say,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(z^* \otimes (z^* [j, e] x^+)^+, z^* [j, e] x^+, (z^* [j, e] x^+)^* * x^+ \right) \rho \\ & \left(z^* \otimes (z^* [j, g] y^+)^+, z^* [j, g] y^+, (z^* [j, g] y^+)^* * y^+ \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can get $z^* [j, e] x^+ \rho_{\mathcal{S}} z^* [j, g] y^+$. Moreover, by (B3) and (B4), we have $z^* [j, e] x^+ = [j, e]$ and $z^* [j, g] y^+ = [j, g]$. Thus, we have

$$[j, e] \rho_T [j, g].$$

So (C.2) holds.

Case 3. Similar to case 2, (C.3) also holds.

In conclusion, we have that $(\rho_I, \rho_T, \rho_\Lambda)$ is an e-triple on W .

By the direct part, $\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}$ is a g-congruence. On the other hand, for any $(e, x, f), (g, y, h) \in W$, $(e, x, f) \rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)} (g, y, h)$, we have

$$e\rho_I g, x\rho_T y, f\rho_\Lambda h.$$

It follows that

$$(e, x^+, x^+) \rho (g, y^+, y^+), (x^+, x, x^*) \rho (y^+, y, y^*), (x^*, x^*, f) \rho (y^*, y^*, h).$$

Further

$$(e, x^+, x^+) (x^+, x, x^*), (x^*, x^*, f) \rho (g, y^+, y^+), (y^+, y, y^*) (y^*, y^*, h).$$

That is to say, $(e, x, f) \rho (g, y, h)$ and so $\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)} \subseteq \rho$. Obviously, $\rho \subseteq \rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)}$, and thus we have $\rho^{(\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)} = \rho$. $\square$

Next, we give an example of a g -congruence on a w - U -a semigroup Q_U with (C) and an s -transversal.

Example 1. Let $Q = \{e, g, h, w, f\}$ with the following multiplication table

	e	g	h	w	f
e	e	g	e	g	g
g	g	g	g	g	g
h	h	g	h	g	g
w	w	g	w	g	g
f	g	g	w	w	f

Associativity may be checked directly. And it is easy to verify that $E(Q) = \{e, g, h, f\}$ and that $T' = \{w, e, f, g\}$ is a subsemigroup of Q . Taking $U' = V' = \{e, g, f\}$, we then have

1. $e\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U h\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_U w\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_U f$;
2. $\tilde{L}_{V'}^g(T') = \tilde{L}_{U'}^g(Q) = \tilde{R}_{V'}^g(T') = \tilde{R}_{U'}^g(Q) = \{g\}$;
3. $\tilde{L}_{V'}^w(T') = \{w, e\}$; $\tilde{L}_{U'}^w(Q) = \{w, e, h\}$;
4. $\tilde{R}_{V'}^w(T') = \tilde{R}_{U'}^w(Q) = \{w, f\}$.

It is easy to check that $Q_{U'}$ is a w - U' -a semigroup $Q_{U'}$ with (C) and an s -transversal $T'_{V'}$.

It is easy to verify that the identical relation is a g -congruence.

Let $gC(W)$ denote the set of all g -congruences on W and $eT(W)$ denote the set of all e -triples on W constructed as in Theorem 1. Then we have the following results.

Lemma 8. If $(\rho_1^I, \rho_1^T, \rho_1^\Lambda), (\rho_2^I, \rho_2^T, \rho_2^\Lambda) \in eT(W)$, then

$$\rho^{(\rho_1^I, \rho_1^T, \rho_1^\Lambda)} \subseteq \rho^{(\rho_2^I, \rho_2^T, \rho_2^\Lambda)} \Leftrightarrow \rho_1^I \subseteq \rho_2^I, \rho_1^T \subseteq \rho_2^T, \rho_1^\Lambda \subseteq \rho_2^\Lambda.$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Let us suppose that $e, g \in I$ such that $e\rho_1^I g$, $e\varphi = x^+$ and $g\varphi = y^+$. By the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$((e, x^+, x^+), (g, y^+, y^+)) \in \rho^{(\rho_1^I, \rho_1^T, \rho_1^\Lambda)} \subseteq \rho^{(\rho_2^I, \rho_2^T, \rho_2^\Lambda)}.$$

It follows that $e\rho_2^I g$, and so $\rho_1^I \subseteq \rho_2^I$. Similarly, we can get $\rho_1^\Lambda \subseteq \rho_2^\Lambda$. On the other hand, if $x\rho_1^T y$, we have $x^+\rho_1^T y^+$ and $x^*\rho_1^T y^*$ since ρ_1^T is a g -congruence on T . Moreover, by (C.1), we have

$$((x^+, x, x^*), (y^+, y, y^*)) \in \rho^{(\rho_1^I, \rho_1^T, \rho_1^\Lambda)} \subseteq \rho^{(\rho_2^I, \rho_2^T, \rho_2^\Lambda)}.$$

Hence, $x\rho_2^T y$.

Necessity. It is obvious. □

Define relation $\leq$ on $eT(W)$ by

$$(\rho_1^I, \rho_1^T, \rho_1^\Lambda) \leq (\rho_2^I, \rho_2^T, \rho_2^\Lambda) \Leftrightarrow \rho_1^I \subseteq \rho_2^I, \rho_1^T \subseteq \rho_2^T, \rho_1^\Lambda \subseteq \rho_2^\Lambda.$$

Then $eT(W)$ is a partial ordered set with respect to $\leq$. By Theorem 1 and Lemma 8, $gC(W)$ and $eT(W)$ are isomorphic as partial ordered sets. For any $\Omega \subseteq gC(W)$, $\bigvee_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho = \cap \{\sigma \in gC(W) | \rho \subseteq \sigma, \text{ for all } \rho \in \Omega\}$, that is, the smallest g -congruence on W containing every congruence of Ω .

Proposition 1. Let $\Omega \subseteq gC(W)$ and $W_\rho = (\rho^I, \rho^T, \rho^\Lambda)$, where $\rho \in \Omega$. Then

$$W_{\bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho} = \left(\bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho^I, \bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho^T, \bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho^\Lambda \right)$$

and

$$W_{\bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho}^g = \left(\bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho^I, \bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho^T, \bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho^\Lambda \right)^g.$$

Proof. The first equality is pretty obvious, and so we just need to prove the second equality.

For any $e, g \in I$, $x, y \in T$, if $e\varphi = x^+$, $g\varphi = y^+$ and $e \left(\bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho \right)^I g$, then we have

$$i = (e, x^+, x^+) \bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho(g, y^+, y^+) = j.$$

Hence, there exist $\rho_i \in \Omega$ and $a_i = (e_i, x_i, f_i) \in W$ such that $i\rho_1 a_1 \rho_2 a_2 \cdots \rho_{n-1} \rho_n j$. This implies that $i^+ \rho_1 a_1^+ \rho_2 a_2^+ \cdots \rho_{n-1}^+ \rho_n^+ j^+$ and $e\rho_1^I e_1 \rho_2^I e_2 \cdots \rho_{n-1}^I \rho_n^I g$. Thus we have

$$\left(\bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho \right)^I \subseteq \bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho^I.$$

The converse is obvious. Similarly, we have $\left(\bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho \right)^T = \bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho^T$.

Next, assume that $x \left(\bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho \right)^T y$, then we have $s = (x^+, x, x^*) \bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho(y^+, y, y^*) = t$. Further, there exist $s_i = (x_i^+, x_i, x_i^*) \in W$ and $\rho_i \in \Omega$ such that $s\rho_1 s_1 \rho_2 s_2 \cdots \rho_{n-1} \rho_n t$ and so $x\rho_1^T x_1 \rho_2^T x_2 \cdots \rho_{n-1}^T x_{n-1} \rho_n^T y$. Hence, we have

$$\left(\bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho \right)^T \subseteq \bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho^T.$$

On the other hand, $\bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho^T \subseteq \left(\bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho \right)^T$ is clear and so $\left(\bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho \right)^T \subseteq \bigcap_{\rho \in \Omega} \rho^T$. □

To sum up, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let W be constructed as in Lemma 7. Then $eT(W)$ forms a complete lattice with respect to $\leq$ and $gC(W)$ is isomorphic to $eT(W)$ as a complete lattice.

Acknowledgements.

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing (Nos. cstc2020jcyj-msxmX0272; cstc2021jcyj-msxmX0436); the Scientific and Technological Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (No. KJQN202001107) and the Scientific Research Starting Foundation of Chongqing University of Technology (No. 2019ZD68).

References

- [1] Almeida Santos, M. H. (1998). Inverse transversal congruence extensions. *Comm. Algebra*. 26(3):889-898.
- [2] Blyth, T. S., McFadden, R. B. (1982). Regular semigroups with a multiplicative inverse transversal. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*. 92A:253-270.
- [3] El-Qallali, A. (1993). Abundant semigroups with a multiplicative type A transversal. *Semigroup Forum* 47:327-340.

- [4] Feng, Y. Y., Wang, L. M. (2012). The K -relation on the congruence lattice of a regular semigroup with an inverse transversal. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* 28(5):1061-1074.
- [5] Gomes, G., Gould, V. (2008). Fundamental semigroups having a band of idempotents. *Semigroup Forum* 77:279-299.
- [6] Howie, J. M. (1967). *An introduction to semigroup theory*. Academic Press, London.
- [7] Kong, X. J. (2014). On generated orthodox transversals. *Comm. Algebra* 42:1431-1447.
- [8] Luo, Y. F., Zhao, H., Chen, J. F. (2004). Good congruences on abundant semigroups with multiplicative adequate transversals. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 28(6):1063-1074.
- [9] Ni, X. F., Luo, Y. F., Chao, H. Z. (2011). Good congruences on abundant semigroups with multiplicative quasi-adequate transversals. *Semigroup Forum* 82(2):324-337.
- [10] Tang, X. L., Wang, L. M. (1995). Congruences on regular semigroups with inverse transversals. *Comm. Algebra* 23:4157-4171.
- [11] Wang, A. F., Wang, L. L. (2016). Congruences on regular semigroups with Q -inverse transversals. *Ukrainian Math. J.* 68(6):972-980.
- [12] Wang, L. M. (1995). On congruence lattices of regular semigroups with Q -inverse transversals. *Semigroup Forum* 50:141-160.
- [13] Wang, S. F. (2018). On E -semiabundant semigroups with a multiplicative restriction transversal. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica* 55:153-173.
- [14] Yang, D. D. (2018). Weakly U -abundant semigroups with strong Ehresmann transversals. *Math. Slovaca* 68(3):549-562.
- [15] Zhang, T. J., Tian, Z. J., Shi, Y. B. (2014). Good congruences on abundant semi-groups with PSQ -adequate transversals. *Semigroup Forum* 89(2):462-468.

This page is intentionally left blank