Nr 58

2017

DOI:10.1515/fascmath-2017-0005

WAGHAMORE P. HARINA AND S. RAJESHWARI

NON-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS SHARING SMALL FUNCTION WITH FINITE WEIGHT

ABSTRACT. The purpose of the paper is to study the uniqueness of entire and meromorphic functions sharing a small function with finite weight. The results of the paper improve and extend some recent results due to Abhijit Banerjee and Pulak Sahoo [3].

KEY WORDS: entire and meromorphic function, weighted sharing, nonlinear differential polynomials.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D35.

1. Introduction

In this paper by meromorphic functions we will always mean meromorphic function in the complex plane.

Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions and let a be a finite complex number. We say that f and g share a CM, provided that f-a and g-a have the same zeros with the same multiplicities. Similarly, we say that f and g share a IM, provided that f-a and g-a have the same zeros ignoring multiplicities. In addition we say that f and g share ∞ CM, if $\frac{1}{f}$ and $\frac{1}{g}$ share 0 CM, and we say that f and g share ∞ IM, if $\frac{1}{f}$ and $\frac{1}{g}$ share 0 IM.

We adopt the standard notations of value distribution theory (see [8]). We denote by T(r) the maximum of T(r, f) and T(r, g). The notation S(r) denotes any quantity satisfying S(r) = o(T(r)) as $r \to \infty$, outside of a possible exceptional set of finite linear measure.

Throughout this paper, we need the following definition.

$$\Theta(a; f) = 1 - \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup \frac{\bar{N}(r, a; f)}{T(r, f)},$$

where a is a value in the extended complex plane.

In 1959, Hayman [7] proved the following result.

Theorem A. Let f be a transcendental entire function, and let $n(\geq 1)$ be an integer. Then $f^n f' = 1$ has infinitely many zeros.

In 2002, Fang and Fang [6] proved the following result.

Theorem B. Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, and let $n(\geq 8)$ be an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.

In the same year Fang [5] investigated the value sharing of more general non-linear differential polynomial than that was considered in Theorem B and obtained the following result.

Theorem C. Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, and let n, k be two positive integers with $n \ge 2k + 8$. If $[f^n(f-1)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g-1)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM then $f \equiv g$.

In 2004, Lin and Yi [14] considered the case of meromorphic function in Theorem B and obtained the following.

Theorem D. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions with $\Theta(\infty, f) > \frac{2}{n+1}$, and let $n(\geq 12)$ be an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.

Natural inquisition would be to investigate the situation for meromorphic function in Theorem C. In this direction in 2008, Zhang [20] proved the following result.

Theorem E. Suppose that f is a transcendental meromorphic function with finite number of poles, g is a transcendental entire function, and let n, k be two positive integers with $n \geq 2k + 6$. If $[f^n(f-1)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g-1)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.

To proceed further we require the following definition known as weighted sharing of values introduced by I. Lahiri [9] which measure how close a shared value is to being shared CM or to being shared IM.

Definition 1. Let k be a non negative integer or infinity. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \bigcup \{\infty\}$ we denote by $E_k(a; f)$ the set of all a-points of f where an a-point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \le k$ and k+1 times if m > k. If $E_k(a; f) = E_k(a; g)$, we say that f, g share the value a with weight k.

The definition implies that if f, g share a value a with weight k, then z_0 is an a-point of f with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ if and only if it is an a-point of g with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ and z_0 is an a-point of f with multiplicity m(>k) if and only if it is an a-point of g with multiplicity n(>k), where m is not necessarily equal to n.

We write f, g share (a,k) to mean that f, g share the value a with weight k. Clearly if f, g share (a,k) then f, g share (a,p) for any integer

 $p, 0 \le p < k$. Also we note that f, g share a value a IM or CM if and only if f, g share (a, 0) or (a, ∞) respectively.

In 2009, using the notion of weighted sharing of values, Xu, Yi and Cao [15] proved the following result.

Theorem F. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$ and $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers such that $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Suppose $[f^n(f-1)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g-1)]^{(k)}$ share (1, l). If $l \geq 2$ and n > 5k + 11 or if l = 1 and $n > 7k + \frac{23}{2}$, then $f \equiv g$.

Recently, Li [13] proved the following result which rectify and at the same time improve Theorem F.

Theorem G. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$ and $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers such that $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Suppose $[f^n(f-1)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g-1)]^{(k)}$ share (1, l). If $l \geq 2$ and n > 3k + 11 or if l = 1 and n > 5k + 14, then f = g or $[f^n(f-1)]^{(k)}[g^n(g-1)]^{(k)} = 1$.

In this direction recently Abhijith Banerjee [1] proved the following results first one of which improves Theorem G.

Theorem H. Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic function and $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers such that $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Suppose for two nonzero constants a and b, $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)}$ share (1,l). If $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3k+9$ or if l=1 and $n \geq 4k+10$, or if l=0 and $n \geq 9k+18$, then f=g or $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)}[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)} = 1$. The possibility $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)}[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)} = 1$ does not occur for k=1.

Theorem I. Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions, and let $n(\geq 1), k(\geq 1), l(\geq 0)$ be three integers. Suppose for two nonzero constants a and b, $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)}$ share (1,l). If $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2k+6$ or if l=1 and $n \geq \frac{5k}{2}+7$, or if l=0 and $n \geq 5k+12$, then f=g.

In 2015, Abhijith Banerjee and Pulak Sahoo [3] obtained the following result.

Theorem J. Let f and g be two non-entire transcendental meromorphic functions and let $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers such that $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Suppose for two nonzero constants a and b, $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)} - P$ and $[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)} - P$ share (0,l) where $P(\not\equiv 0)$ is a polynomial. If $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3k + 9$ or if l = 1 and $n \geq 4k + 10$ or if l = 0 and $n \geq 9k + 18$, then f = g.

Theorem K. Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions, and let $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers. Suppose for two nonzero constants a and b, $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)} - P$ and $[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)} - P$ share (0,l) where $P(\not\equiv 0)$

is a polynomial. If $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2k+6$ or if l=1 and $n \geq \frac{5k}{2}+7$ or if l=0 and $n \geq 5k+12$, then f=g.

The following questions are inevitable.

Quation 1. What can be said if the sharing value zero is replaced by a small function a in the above Theorems J and K?

Quation 2. Are the Theorems J and K also true for non-constant entire and meromorphic functions?

In this paper, taking the possible answer of the above questions into background we obtain the following results.

Theorem 1. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, let $P(w) = a_m w^m + a_{m-1} w^{m-1} + \ldots + a_1 w + a_0$, for a positive integer m or $P(w) \equiv c_0$ where $a_0(\neq 0), a_1...a_{m-1}, a_m(\neq 0), c_0(\neq 0)$ are complex constants. Also we suppose that $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share (a, l), and $n(\geq 1), k(\geq 1), l(\geq 0)$ are positive integers. Now

- (I) when $P(w) = a_m w^m + a_{m-1} w^{m-1} + \ldots + a_1 w + a_0$, and one of the following conditions holds:
 - (a) $l \ge 2$ and n > 3k + m + 8,
 - (b) l = 1 and $n > 4k + \frac{3m+8}{2}$,
 - (c) l = 0 and n > 9k + 4m + 14,

then one of the following three cases holds:

- (I1) $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^{d_1} = 1$, where $d_1 = gcd(n + m, n + m i, ..., n), a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some i = 0, 1, 2, ..., m,
- (I2) f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f,g) \equiv 0$, where $R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^n(a_mw_1^m + a_{m-1}w_1^{m-1} + \ldots + a_1w_1 + a_0) w_2^n(a_mw_2^m + a_{m-1}w_2^{m-1} + \ldots + a_1w_2 + a_0)$, except for $P(w) = a_1w + a_2$ and $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{n}$,
 - (I3) $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} [g^n P(g)]^{(k)} \equiv a^2$, except for k = 1,
- (II) when $P(w) \equiv c_0$, and one of the following conditions holds:
 - (a) $l \ge 2 \text{ and } n > 3k + 8,$
 - (b) l = 1 and n > 4k + 9,
 - (c) l = 0 and n > 9k + 14,

then one of the following two cases holds:

- (II1) $f \equiv tg$ for some constant t such that $t^n = 1$,
- (II2) $c_0^2[f^n]^{(k)}[g^n]^{(k)} \equiv a^2$. In particular when n > 2k and $a(z) = d_2 = constant$, we get $f(z) = c_1e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2e^{-cz}$, where c_1 , c_2 and c are constants satisfying $(-1)^k c_0^2 (c_1c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = d_2^2$.

Theorem 2. Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, let $P(w) = a_m w^m + a_{m-1} w^{m-1} + ... + a_1 w + a_0$, for a positive integer m or $P(w) \equiv c_0$ where $a_0 \neq 0$, $a_1...a_{m-1}$, $a_m \neq 0$, $c_0 \neq 0$ are complex con-

stants. Also we suppose that $[f^nP(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^nP(g)]^{(k)}$ share (a,l), and $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ are positive integers. Now

- (I) when $P(w) = a_m w^m + a_{m-1} w^{m-1} + \ldots + a_1 w + a_0$, and one of the following conditions holds:
 - (a) $l \ge 2$ and n > 2k + m + 5,
 - (b) l = 1 and $n > \frac{5k}{2} + 2m + 5$,
 - (c) l = 0 and n > 5k + 4m + 8,

then the conclusion of Theorem 1 holds:

- (II) when $P(w) \equiv c_0$, and one of the following conditions holds:
 - (a) $l \ge 2 \text{ and } n > 2k + 5,$
 - (b) $l = 1 \text{ and } n > \frac{5k}{2} + 5,$
 - (a) $l = 0 \text{ and } n > 5\bar{k} + 8$

then the conclusion of Theorem 1 holds.

Theorem 3. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions and $a(z)(\not\equiv 0,\infty)$ be a small function of f and g, let $P(w)=a_mw^m+a_{m-1}w^{m-1}+\ldots+a_1w+a_0$, for a positive integer m or $P(w)\equiv c_0$ where $a_0(\not\equiv 0), a_1...a_{m-1}, a_m(\not\equiv 0), c_0(\not\equiv 0)$ are complex constants. Also we suppose that $f^nP(f)f'$ and $g^nP(g)g'$ share (a,l), and $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ are positive integers and one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) $l \ge 2 \text{ and } n > m + 10,$
- (b) l = 1 and $n > \frac{3m}{2} + 12$,
- (c) l = 0 and n > 4m + 22,

then one of the following two cases holds:

- (I) $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^{d_3} = 1$, where $d_3 = gcd(n + m + 1, ..., n + m + 1 i, ..., n + 1)$, $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some i = 0, 1, 2, ..., m,
- $(II) \ f \ and \ g \ satisfy \ the \ algebraic \ equation \ R(f,g) \equiv 0, \ where \ R(w_1,w_2) = \\ w_1^{n+1} \big(\frac{a_m w_1^m}{n+m+1} + \frac{a_{m-1} w_1^{m-1}}{n+m} + \ldots + \frac{a_0}{n+1} \big) w_2^{n+1} \big(\frac{a_m w_2^m}{n+m+1} + \frac{a_{m-1} w_2^{m-1}}{n+m} + \ldots + \frac{a_0}{n+1} \big),$

Theorem 4. Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions and $a(z)(\not\equiv 0,\infty)$ be a small function of f and g, let $P(w)=a_mw^m+a_{m-1}w^{m-1}+\ldots+a_1w+a_0$, for a positive integer m or $P(w)\equiv c_0$ where $a_0(\not\equiv 0), a_1...a_{m-1}, a_m(\not\equiv 0), c_0(\not\equiv 0)$ are complex constants. Also we suppose that $f^nP(f)f'$ and $g^nP(g)g'$ share (a,l), and $n(\geq 1), k(\geq 1), l(\geq 0)$ are positive integers and one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) $l \geq 2$ and n > m+4,
- (b) $l = 1 \text{ and } n > \frac{3m}{2} + 6,$
- (c) l = 0 and n > 4m + 11,

then the conclusion of Theorem 3 holds.

2. Lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel. Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions defined in \mathbb{C} . We shall denote by H the following function:

$$H = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1}\right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1}\right).$$

Lemma 1 ([16]). Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function, and let $P_n(f)$ be a differential polynomial in f of the form

$$P_n(f) = a_n f^n(z) + a_{n-1} f^{n-1}(z) + \dots + a_1 f(z) + a_0,$$

where $a_n(\neq 0), a_{n-1}...a_1, a_0$ are complex numbers. Then

$$T(r, P_n(f)) = nT(r, f) + O(1).$$

Lemma 2 ([21]). Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function, and p, k be positive integers. Then

(1)
$$N_p(r,0;f^{(k)}) \le T(r,f^{(k)}) - T(r,f) + N_{p+k}(r,0;f) + S(r,f),$$

(2)
$$N_p(r,0;f^{(k)}) \le k\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + N_{p+k}(r,0;f) + S(r,f).$$

Lemma 3 ([9]). Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing (1,2). Then one of the following cases holds:

- (i) $T(r) \le N_2(r, 0; F) + N_2(r, 0; G) + N_2(r, \infty; F) + N_2(r, \infty; G) + S(r)$,
- (ii) F = G,
- (iii) FG = 1. where T(r) denotes the maximum of T(r, F) and T(r, G) and $S(r) = o\{T(r)\}$ as $r \to \infty$, possibly outside a set of finite linear measure.

Lemma 4 ([2]). Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing (1,1) and $H \not\equiv 0$. Then

$$T(r,F) \leq N_2(r,0;F) + N_2(r,0;G) + N_2(r,\infty;F) + N_2(r,\infty;G) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,0;F) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + S(r,F) + S(r,G).$$

Lemma 5 ([2]). Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing (1,0) and $H \not\equiv 0$. Then

$$T(r,F) \leq N_2(r,0;F) + N_2(r,0;G) + N_2(r,\infty;F) + N_2(r,\infty;G) + 2\overline{N}(r,0;F) + \overline{N}(r,0;G) + 2\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;G) + S(r,F) + S(r,G).$$

Lemma 6 ([4]). Let f, g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, let n, k be two positive integers such that n > 2k. Suppose $[f^n]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n]^{(k)}$ share d_2 CM. If $[f^n]^{(k)}[g^n]^{(k)} \equiv d_2^2$, then $f = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1 , c_2 and c are constants such that $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = d_2^2$.

Lemma 7 ([18]). If $H \equiv 0$, then F, G share 1CM. If further F, G share ∞ IM then F, G share ∞ CM.

Lemma 8. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic (entire) functions. Let P(w) be defined as in Theorem 1 and k, m, n > 3k + m > 2k + m be three positive integers. If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} \equiv [g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$, then $f^n P(f) \equiv g^n P(g)$.

Proof. By the assumption $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} \equiv [g^n P(g)]^k$.

When $k \geq 2$, integrating we get

$$[f^n P(f)]^{(k-1)} \equiv [g^n P(g)]^{(k-1)} + C_{k-1}.$$

If possible we suppose $C_{k-1} \neq 0$.

Now in the view of the Lemma 2 for p=1 and using the second fundamental theorem we get

$$(n+m)T(r,f) \leq T(r,[f^{n}P(f)]^{(k-1)}) - \overline{N}(r,0;[f^{n}P(f)]^{(k-1)}) + N_{k}(r,0;f^{n}P(f)) + S(r,f) \leq \overline{N}(r,0;[f^{n}P(f)]^{(k-1)}) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \overline{N}(r,C_{k-1};[f^{n}P(f)]^{(k-1)}) - \overline{N}(r,0;[f^{n}P(f)]^{(k-1)}) + N_{k}(r,0;f^{n}P(f)) + S(r,f) \leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \overline{N}(r,0;[g^{n}P(g)]^{(k-1)}) + k\overline{N}(r,0;f) + N(r,0;P(f)) + S(r,f) \leq (k+m+1)T(r,f) + (k-1)\overline{N}(r,\infty;g) + N_{k}(r,0;g^{n}P(g)) + S(r,f) \leq (k+m+1)T(r,f) + (k-1)\overline{N}(r,\infty;g) + k\overline{N}(r,0;g) + N(r,0;P(g)) + S(r,f) \leq (k+m+1)T(r,f) + (2k+m-1)T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \leq (3k+2m)T(r) + S(r).$$

Similarly we get

$$(n+m)T(r,g) \le (3k+2m)T(r) + S(r).$$

Where $T(r) = \max\{T(r, f), T(r, g)\}$ and $S(r) = \max\{S(r, f), S(r, g)\}$. Combining these we get

$$(n-m-3k)T(r) \le S(r).$$

Which is a contradiction since n > 3k + m.

Therefore $C_{k-1}=0$ and so $[f^nP(f)]^{(k-1)}\equiv [g^nP(g)]^{(k-1)}$, Repeating k-1 times, we obtain

$$f^n P(f) = g^n P(g) + c_0.$$

If k = 1, clearly integrating one we obtain the above. If possible suppose $c_0 \neq 0$.

Now using the second fundamental theorem we get

$$(n+m)T(r,f) \leq \overline{N}(r,0;f^{n}P(f)) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;f^{n}P(f)) + \overline{N}(r,c_{0};f^{n}P(f)) + S(r,f) \leq \overline{N}(r,0;f) + mT(r,f) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \overline{N}(r,0;g^{n}P(g)) + S(r,f) \leq (m+2)T(r,f) + \overline{N}(r,0;g) + mT(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \leq (m+2)T(r,f) + (m+1)T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \leq (2m+3)T(r) + S(r).$$

similarly we get

$$(n+m)T(r,g) \le (2m+3)T(r) + S(r)$$

combining these we get

$$(n-m-3)T(r) \le S(r).$$

which is a contradiction, since n > m + 3. Therefore $c_0 = 1$ and so

$$f^n P(f) \equiv g^n P(g).$$

This completes the lemma.

Lemma 9. Let f, g be two nonconstant meromorphic (entire functions) and $F = \frac{[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}}{a(z)}$, $G = \frac{[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}}{a(z)}$, $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, $m(\geq 0)$ are positive integers such that n > 3k + m + 3(> 2k + m + 2) and P(w) be defined as in Theorem 1. If $H \equiv 0$ then

(I) when $P(w) = a_m w^m + a_{m-1} w^{m-1} + \ldots + a_1 w + a_0$, one of the following three cases holds:

- (I1) $f \equiv tg$ for a constant t such that $t^{d_1} = 1$, where $d_1 = gcd(n + m, \ldots, n + m i, \ldots, n)$, $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$,
- (I2) f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f,g) \equiv 0$, where $R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^n(a_m w_1^m + a_{m-1} w_1^{m-1} + ... + a_0) w_2^n(a_m w_2^m + a_{m-1} w_2^{m-1} + ... + a_0)$, except for $P(w) = a_1 w + a_2$ and $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{n}$,
 - (I3) $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} [g^n P(g)]^{(k)} \equiv a^2$,
- (II) when $P(w) \equiv c_0$,, one of the following two case holds:
 - (II1) $f \equiv tg$ for some constant t such that $t^n = 1$,
- (II2) $c_0^2[f^n]^{(k)}[g^n]^{(k)} \equiv a^2$. In particular, when n > 2k and $a(z) = d_2$ we get $f(z) = c_1e^{cz}$ and $g(z) = c_2e^{-cz}$, where c_1 , c_2 and c are constants satisfying $(-1)^k c_0^2 (c_1c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = d_2^2$.

Proof. Since $H \equiv 0$, by Lemma 7, we get F and G share 1 CM. On integration we get,

(3)
$$\frac{1}{F-1} \equiv \frac{bG+a-b}{G-1},$$

where a, b are constants and $a \neq 0$. We now consider the following cases.

Case 1. Let $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq b$. If b = -1, then from (3) we have

$$F \equiv \frac{-a}{G - a - 1}.$$

Therefore

$$\overline{N}(r, a+1; G) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; F) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; f).$$

So in view of Lemma 2 and the second fundamental theorem we get

$$(n+m)T(r,g) \leq T(r,G) + N_{k+1}(r,0;g^{n}P(g)) - \overline{N}(r,0;G) \leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;G) + \overline{N}(r,0;G) + \overline{N}(r,a+1;G) + N_{k+1}(r,0;g^{n}P(g)) - \overline{N}(r,0;G) + S(r,g) \leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;g) + N_{k+1}(r,0;g^{n}P(g)) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + S(r,g) \leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;g) + N_{k+1}(r,0;g^{n}) + N_{k+1}(r,0;P(g)) + S(r,g) \leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;g) + (k+1)\overline{N}(r,0;g) + T(r,P(g)) + S(r,g) \leq T(r,f) + (k+m+2)T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

without loss of generality, we suppose that there exists a set I with infinite measure such that $T(r, f) \leq T(r, g)$ for $r \in I$.

So for $r \in I$ we have

$$(n-k-3)T(r,g) \le S(r,g)$$

which is a contradiction since n > k + 3.

If $b \neq -1$, from (3) we obtain that

$$F - (1 + \frac{1}{b}) \equiv \frac{-a}{b^2[G + \frac{a-b}{b}]}.$$

So,

$$\overline{N}(r, \frac{(b-a)}{h}; G) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; F) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; f).$$

Using Lemma 2 and the same argument as used in the case when b=-1 we can get a contradiction.

Case 2. Let $b \neq 0$ and a = b. If b = -1, then from (3) we have

$$FG \equiv 1$$
.

i.e.,

$$[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} [g^n P(g)]^{(k)} \equiv a^2(z),$$

where $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share a(z) CM.

Note that if $P(w) \equiv c_0$ then we have

$$c_0^2[f^n]^{(k)}[g^n]^{(k)} \equiv a^2(z).$$

In particular when n > 2k and $a(z) = d_2$ then we get by Lemma 6 that $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1 , c_2 and c are constants satisfying $(-1)^k c_0^2 (c_1 c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = d_2^2$.

If b = -1, from (3) we have

$$\frac{1}{F} \equiv \frac{bG}{(1+b)G-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{1+b}; G) = \overline{N}(r, 0; F).$$

So in view of Lemma 2 and the second fundamental theorem we get

$$(n+m)T(r,g) \leq T(r,G) + N_{k+1}(r,0;g^{n}P(g)) - \overline{N}(r,0;G) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;G) + \overline{N}(r,0;G) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{a+b};G)$$

$$+ N_{k+1}(r,0;g^{n}P(g)) - \overline{N}(r,0;G) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;g) + (k+1)\overline{N}(r,0;g) + T(r,P(g))$$

$$+ \overline{N}(r,0;F) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;g) + (k+1)\overline{N}(r,0;g) + T(r,P(g))$$

$$+ (k+1)\overline{N}(r,0;f) + T(r,P(f)) + k\overline{N}(r,\infty;f)$$

$$+ S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq (k+m+2)T(r,g) + (2k+m+1)T(r,f)$$

$$+ S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

So for $r \in I$ we have

$$(n-3k-3-m)T(r,g) \le S(r,g).$$

Which is a contradiction, since n > 3k + m + 3.

Case 3. Let b = 0. From (3) we obtain

(4)
$$F \equiv \frac{G+a-1}{a}.$$

If $a \neq 1$ then from (4) we obtain

$$\overline{N}(r, 1-a; G) = \overline{N}(r, 0; F).$$

We can similarly deduce a contradiction as in case 2. Therefore a=1 and from (4) we obtain

$$F \equiv G$$
,

i.e.,

$$[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} \equiv [g^n P(g)]^{(k)}.$$

Note that n > 3k + m + 3 > 3k + m.

So by Lemma 8, we have

(5)
$$f^n P(f) \equiv g^n P(g).$$

Let $h = \frac{f}{g}$. If h is a constant, putting f = gh in (5) we get

$$a_m g^{n+m} (h^{n+m} - 1) + a_{m-1} g^{n+m-1} (h^{n+m-1} - 1) + \dots + a_0 g^n (h^n - 1) = 0,$$

which implies $h^{d_1} = 1$, where $d_1 = gcd(n + m, ..., n + m - i, ..., n + 1, n)$, $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some i = 0, 1, ..., m. Thus f = tg for a constant t such that $t^{d_1} = 1$. where $d_1 = gcd(n + m, ..., n + m - i, ..., n + 1, n)$, $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some i = 0, 1, ..., m.

If h is not a constant, then from (5) we can say that f and g satisfy the algebraic equation R(f, g) = 0, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^n (a_m w_1^n + a_{m-1} w_1^{m-1} + \dots + a_0) - w_2^n (a_m w_2^n + a_{m-1} w_2^{m-1} + \dots + a_0).$$

In particular when $P(w) = a_1 w + a_2$ and $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$ then $f \equiv g$. Note that when $P(w) \equiv c_0$ then we must have $f \equiv tg$ for some constant t such that $t^n = 1$.

Lemma 10. Let f and g be two non constant meromorphic functions and $a(z) (\neq 0, \infty)$ be a small function of f and g. Let n and m be two positive integers such that $n > \frac{4m}{t} - (m-1)$, t denotes the number of distinct roots of the equation $P(w) \equiv 0$, where P(w) is defined as in Theorem 3. Then

$$f^n P(f) f' g^n P(g) g' \not\equiv a^2$$
.

Proof. First suppose that

(6)
$$f^n P(f) f' g^n P(g) g' \equiv a^2(z).$$

Let d_1 be the distinct zeros of P(w) = 0 and multiplicity P_i , where $i = 1, 2, ..., t, 1 \le t \le m$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{t} p_i = m$.

Now by the second fundamental theorem for f and g we get respectively

(7)
$$tT(r,f) \leq \overline{N}(r,0;f) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \sum_{i=1}^{t} \overline{N}(r,d_i;f) - \overline{N_0}(r,0;f') + S(r,f)$$

and

(8)
$$tT(r,g) \leq \overline{N}(r,0;g) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;g) + \sum_{i=1}^{t} \overline{N}(r,d_i;g) - \overline{N_0}(r,0;g') + S(r,g),$$

where $\overline{N}_0(r,0;f')$ denotes the reduced counting function of those zeros of f' which are not the zeros of f and $f-d_i$, $i=1,2,\ldots,t$ and $\overline{N}_0(r,0;g')$ can be similarly defined.

Let z_0 be a zero of f with multiplicity p but $a(z_0) \neq 0, \infty$. Clearly z_0 must be a pole of g with multiplicity q. Then from (6) we get np + p - 1 = nq + mq + q + 1. This gives

(9)
$$mq + 2 = (n+1)(p-q).$$

From (9) we get $p-q \ge 1$ and so $q \ge \frac{n-1}{m}$. Now np+p-1 = nq+mq+q+1 gives $p \ge \frac{n+m-1}{m}$. Thus we have

(10)
$$\overline{N}(r,0;f) \le \frac{m}{n+m-1} N(r,0;f) \le \frac{m}{n+m-1} T(r,f).$$

Let $z_1(a(z_1) \neq 0, \infty)$ be a zero of $f - d_i$ with multiplicity q_i , i = 1, 2, ...t. Obviously z_1 must be a pole of g with multiplicity $r(\geq 1)$. Then from (6) we get $p_i q_i + q_i - 1 = (n + m + 1)r + 1 \leq n + m + 2$. This gives $q_i \geq \frac{n + m + 2}{p_i + 1}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., t and so we get

$$\overline{N}(r, d_i; f) \le \frac{p_i + 1}{n + m + 3} N(r, d_i; f) \le \frac{p_i + 1}{n + m + 3} T(r, f).$$

Clearly

(11)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \overline{N}(r, d_i; f) \le \frac{m+t}{n+m+3} T(r, f).$$

Similarly we have

(12)
$$\overline{N}(r,0;g) \le \frac{m}{n+m-1}T(r,g)$$

and

(13)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} \overline{N}(r, d_i; g) \le \frac{m+t}{n+m+3} T(r, g).$$

Also it is clear that

$$(14) \quad \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) \leq \overline{N}(r,0;g) + \sum_{i=1}^{t} \overline{N}(r,d_{i};g) + \overline{N}_{0}(r,0;g') + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \leq \left(\frac{m}{n+m-1} + \frac{m+t}{n+m+3}\right) T(r,g) + \overline{N}_{0}(r,0;g') + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

then by (7), (10), (11) and (14) we get

(15)
$$tT(r,f) \leq \left(\frac{m}{n+m-1} + \frac{m+t}{n+m+3}\right) \{T(r,f) + T(r,g)\} + \overline{N}_0(r,0;g') - \overline{N}_0(r,0;f') + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

Similarly we have

(16)
$$tT(r,g) \leq \left(\frac{m}{n+m-1} + \frac{m+t}{n+m+3}\right) \{T(r,f) + T(r,g)\} + \overline{N}_0(r,0;f') - \overline{N}_0(r,0;g') + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

Then from (15) and (16) we get

$$t\{T(r,f) + T(r,g)\} \le 2\left(\frac{m}{n+m-1} + \frac{m+t}{n+m+3}\right)\{T(r,f) + T(r,g)\} + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

i.e.,

$$(17) \left(t - \frac{2m}{n+m-1} - \frac{2(m+t)}{n+m+3}\right) \left\{T(r,f) + T(r,g)\right\} \le S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

Since

$$\left(t - \frac{2m}{n+m-1} - \frac{2(m+t)}{n+m+3}\right) \\
= \frac{(n+m-1)^2t + 2(n+m-1)(t-2m) - 8m}{(n+m-1)(n+m+3)}.$$

We note that when $n+m-1>\frac{4m}{t}$ i.e., when $n>\frac{4m}{t}-(m-1)$, then clearly $t-\frac{2m}{n+m-1}-\frac{2(m+t)}{n+m+3}>0$ and so (17) leads to a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Lemma 11. Let f, g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, let $F = \frac{f^n P(f) f'}{a}$, $G = \frac{g^n P(f) g'}{a}$, where P(w) is defined as in Theorem 3, $a = a(z) (\not\equiv 0, \infty)$ is a small function with respect to f and g, and n is a positive integer such that n > m + 5. If $H \equiv 0$ then one of the following three cases holds:

 $(I) f^n P(f) f' g^n P(g) g' \equiv a^2(z),$

(II) $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^{d_3} = 1$, where $d_3 = gcd(n + m + 1, ..., n + m + 1 - i, ..., n + 1)$, $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some i = 1, 2, ..., m,

 $(III) \ f \ and \ g \ satisfy \ the \ algebraic \ equation \ R(f,g) \equiv 0, \ where \ R(w_1,w_2) = w_1^{n+1}(\frac{a_mw_1^m}{n+m+1} + \frac{a_{m-1}w_1^{m-1}}{n+m} + \ldots + \frac{a_0}{n+1}) - w_2^{n+1}(\frac{a_mw_2^m}{n+m+1} + \frac{a_{m-1}w_2^{m-1}}{n+m} + \ldots + \frac{a_0}{n+1}).$

Proof. Clearly

$$F = \frac{\left[f^{n+1}\left\{\frac{a_m}{n+m+1}f^m + \frac{a_{m-1}}{n+m}f^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{n+1}\right\}\right]'}{a}$$

and

$$G = \frac{[g^{n+1}\{\frac{a_m}{n+m+1}g^m + \frac{a_{m-1}}{n+m}g^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{n+1}\}]'}{a},$$

where

$$P_1(w) = \left\{ \frac{a_m}{n+m+1} w^m + \frac{a_{m-1}}{n+m} w^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{n+1} \right\}$$

proceeding in the same way as the proof of Lemma 9, taking k=1 and considering n+1 instead of n we get either

$$f^n P(f) f' g^n P(g) g' \equiv a^2(z)$$

or

$$f^n P(f)f' \equiv g^n P(g)g'.$$

Let $h = \frac{f}{g}$. If h is a constant, by putting f = gh in the above equation we get

$$a_m g^m (h^{n+m+1} - 1) + a_{m-1} g^{m-1} (h^{n+m} - 1) + \dots$$

 $+ a_1 g(h^{n+2} - 1) + a_0 (h^{n+1} - 1) \equiv 0,$

which implies that $h^{d_3} = 1$, where $d_3 = \gcd(n + m + 1, ..., n + m + 1 - i, ..., n + 1)$, $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{0, 1, ..., m\}$. Thus $f \equiv tg$ for a constant t such that $t^{d_3} = 1$, where $d_3 = \gcd(n + m + 1, ..., n + m + 1 - i, ..., n + 1)$, $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{0, 1, ..., m\}$.

If h is not constant then f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f,g) \equiv 0$, where $R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^{n+1} \left(\frac{a_m w_1^m}{n+m+1} + \frac{a_{m-1} w_1^{m-1}}{n+m} + \ldots + \frac{a_0}{n+1} \right) - w_2^{n+1} \left(\frac{a_m w_2^m}{n+m+1} + \frac{a_{m-1} w_2^{m-1}}{n+m} + \ldots + \frac{a_0}{n+1} \right)$.

3. Proof of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. Let F(z) and G(z) be given as in Lemma 9. It follows that F and G share (1, l) except for the zeros and poles of P(z). So from (1) we obtain

(18)
$$N_{2}(r,0;F) \leq N_{2}(r,0;[f^{n}P(f)]^{(k)}) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq T(r,[f^{n}P(f)]^{(k)}) - (n+m)T(r,f)$$

$$+ N_{k+2}(r,0;f^{n}P(f)) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq T(r,F) - (n+m)T(r,f) + N_{k+2}(r,0;f^{n}P(f))$$

$$+ O\{\log r\} + S(r,f).$$

Again by (2) we have

(19)
$$N_2(r,0;G) \le k\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + N_{k+2}(r,0;g^nP(g)) + S(r,g).$$

From (18) we get

(20)
$$(n+m)T(r,f) \leq T(r,F) + N_{k+2}(r,0;f^nP(f)) - N_2(r,0;F) + O\{\log r\} + S(r,f).$$

Case 1. Let $H \not\equiv 0$.

Subcase 1. Let $l \geq 2$. Let (i) of Lemma 3 holds. Then using (19) we obtain from (20),

$$(21) \quad (n+m)T(r,f) \leq N_{2}(r,0;G) + N_{2}(r,\infty;F) + N_{2}(r,\infty;G) + N_{k+2}(r,0;g^{n}P(f)) + O\{\log r\} + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq N_{k+2}(r,0;f^{n}P(f)) + N_{k+2}(r,0;g^{n}P(g)) + 2\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + (k+2)\overline{N}(r,\infty;g) + O\{\log r\} + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq (k+m+2)\{T(r,f) + T(r,g)\} + 2\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + (k+2)\overline{N}(r,\infty;g) + O\{\log r\} + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq [(k+m+4) - 2\Theta(\infty;f) + \epsilon]T(r,f) + [(2k+m+4) - (k+2)\Theta(\infty,g) + \epsilon]T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

$$\leq [(3k+m+8)-2\Theta(\infty,f)-2\Theta(\infty,g) - k\min\{\Theta(\infty,f),\Theta(\infty,g)\} + 2\epsilon T(r) + S(r).$$

In a similar way we can obtain

(22)
$$(n+m)T(r,g) \leq [(3k+m+8) - 2\Theta(\infty, f) - 2\Theta(\infty, g)$$
$$- k \min\{\Theta(\infty, f), \Theta(\infty, g)\} + 2\epsilon T(r) + S(r).$$

From (21) and (22) we obtain

$$[n - 3k - m - 8 + 2\Theta(\infty, f) + 2\Theta(\infty, g) + k\min\{\Theta(\infty, f)\Theta(\infty, g)\} - 2\epsilon]T(r) < S(r)$$

contradicting with the fact that n > 3k + m + 8.

Subcase 2. Let l = 1, using Lemma 4 and (19) we obtain from (20),

$$(23) \quad (n+m)T(r,f) \leq N_{2}(r,0;G) + N_{2}(r,\infty;F) + N_{2}(r,\infty;G) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,0;F) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) \\ + N_{k+2}(r,0;f^{n}P(f)) + O\{\log r\} \\ + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \\ \leq N_{k+2}(r,0;f^{n}P(f)) + N_{k+2}(r,0;g^{n}P(g)) \\ + \frac{1}{2}N_{k+1}(r,0;f^{n}P(f)) + \frac{k+5}{2}\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) \\ + (k+2)\overline{N}(r,\infty;g) + O\{\log r\} \\ + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \\ \leq (k+m+2)\{T(r,f) + T(r,g)\} \\ + \frac{k+m+1}{2}T(r,f) + \frac{k+5}{2}\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) \\ + (k+2)\overline{N}(r,\infty;g) + O\{\log r\} \\ + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \\ \leq [(2k+\frac{3m+10}{2}) - (\frac{k}{2}+3)\Theta(\infty,f) \\ - \frac{1}{2}\Theta(\infty,f) + \epsilon]T(r,f) + [(2k+m+4) \\ - (\frac{k}{2}+2g\Theta(\infty,g) - \frac{k}{2}\Theta(\infty,f) + \epsilon]T(r,g) \\ + O\{\log r\} + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \\ \leq [4k+\frac{5m+18}{2} - (\frac{k+5}{2})(\Theta(\infty,f) + \Theta(\infty,g)) + 2\epsilon]T(r) + S(r).$$

Similarly

(24)
$$(n+m)T(r,g) \leq \left[4k + \frac{5m+18}{2} - (\frac{k+5}{2})(\Theta(\infty,f) + \Theta(\infty,g)) + 2\epsilon\right]T(r) + S(r).$$

Combining (23) and (24) we obtain

$$[n - 4k - \frac{5m + 18}{2} + m + \frac{k + 5}{2} (\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g)) + 2\epsilon]T(r) \le S(r),$$

contradiction. Since $n \ge 4k + \frac{3m+18}{2}$.

Subcase 3. Let l = 0, using Lemma 5 and (19) we obtain from (20),

$$(25) (n+m)T(r,f) \leq N_{2}(r,0;G) + N_{2}(r,\infty;F) + N_{2}(r,\infty;G) \\ + 2\overline{N}(r,0;F) + \overline{N}(r,0;G) + N_{k+2}(r,0;f^{n}P(f)) \\ + 2\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;G) \\ + O\{\log r\} + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \\ \leq N_{k+2}(r,0;f^{n}P(f)) + N_{k+2}(r,0;g^{n}P(g)) \\ + 2N_{k+2}(r,0;f^{n}P(f)) + N_{k+1}(r,0;g^{n}P(g)) \\ + (2k+4)\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + (2k+3)\overline{N}(r,\infty;g) \\ + O\{\log r\} + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \\ \leq [(5k+3m+8) - (2k+4)\Theta(\infty;f) - \epsilon]T(r,f) \\ + [(4k+2m+6) - (2k+3)\Theta(\infty;g) - \epsilon]T(r,g) \\ + O\{\log r\} + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \\ + O\{\log r\} + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \\ + O\{\log r\} - \min\{\Theta(\infty,f)\Theta(\infty;g)\} \\ + 2\epsilon]T(r) + S(r).$$

Similarly

$$(26) (n+m)T(r,g) \leq [(9k+5m+14) - (2k+3)[\Theta(\infty;f) + \Theta(\infty,g)] - \min\{\Theta(\infty,f)\Theta(\infty;g)\} + 2\epsilon]T(r) + S(r).$$

From (25) and (26) we get

$$[n-9k-4m-14] + (2k+3)(\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty; g)) + \min\{\Theta(\infty; f)\Theta(\infty; g)\} - 2\epsilon T(r) \le S(r),$$

contradicts with the facts that $n \ge 9k + 4m + 14$.

Case 3. Let $H \equiv 0$. Then the Theorem follows from Lemma 9.

Proof of Theorem 2. Noting that $\overline{N}(r,\infty;f)=0$, $\overline{N}(r,\infty;g)=0$ and proceeding in the like manner as the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain the result of the Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $F = \frac{f^n P(f)f'}{a(z)}$ and $G = \frac{g^n P(g)g'}{a(z)}$. Then F, G share (1, l), except the zeros and poles of a(z). Clearly

$$F = \frac{\left[f^{n+1}\left\{\frac{a_m}{n+m+1}f^m + \frac{a_{m-1}}{n+m}f^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{n+1}\right\}\right]'}{a}$$

and

$$G = \frac{\left[g^{n+1}\left\{\frac{a_m}{n+m+1}g^m + \frac{a_{m-1}}{n+m}g^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{n+1}\right\}\right]'}{a},$$

where

$$P_1(w) = \left\{ \frac{a_m}{n+m+1} w^m + \frac{a_{m-1}}{n+m} w^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{n+1} \right\}.$$

Case 1. Let $H \not\equiv 0$. Now following the same procedure as adopted in the proof of Case 1 of Theorem 1 we can easily deduce a contradiction.

Case 2. Let $H \equiv 0$. Since $n > k_1$ and n > m + 5 the theorem follows from Lemma 10 and 11.

Proof of Theorem 4. Noting that $\overline{N}(r,\infty;f)=0$, $\overline{N}(r,\infty;g)=0$ and proceeding in the like manner as the proof of Theorem 3 we obtain the result of the Theorem 4.

References

- [1] Banerjee A., Uniqueness of certain non-linear differential polynomials sharing 1-points, Kyungpook Math. J., 51(1)(2011), 43-58.
- [2] Banerjee A., Meromorphic functions sharing one value, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 22(2005), 3587-3598.
- [3] Banerjee A., Sahoo P., Certain nonlinear differential polynomials sharing a nonzero polynomial with finite weight, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 55(2015), 653-666.
- [4] Banerjee A., Majumder S., Non-linear differential polynomials sharing small function with finite weight, *Arab. J. Math. (Springer)*, 4(1)(2015), 7-28.
- [5] FANG M.-L., Uniqueness and value-sharing of entire functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 44(5-6)(2002), 823-831.
- [6] Fang C.-Y., Fang M.-L., Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and differential polynomials, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 44(5-6)(2002), 607-617.
- [7] HAYMAN W.K., Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives, *Ann. of Math.*, 70(2)(1959), 9-42.
- [8] HAYMAN W.K., *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.

- [9] Lahiri I., Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions, Complex Variables Theory Appl., 46(3)2001), 241-253.
- [10] Lahiri I., Value distribution of certain differential polynomials, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 28(2)(2001), 83-91.
- [11] Lahiri I., On a question of Hong Xun Yi, Arch. Math. (Brno), 38(2)(2002), 119-128.
- [12] Lahiri I., Dewan S., Value distribution of the product of a meromorphic function and its derivative, *Kodai Math. J.*, 26(1)(2003), 95-100.
- [13] Li J.-D., Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and differential polynomials, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, (2011), Art. ID 514218, 12 pp.
- [14] LIN W., YI H., Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions concerning fixed-points, *Complex Var. Theory Appl.*, 49(11)(2004), 793-806.
- [15] Xu H.-Y., Yi C.-F., Cao T.-B., Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and differential polynomials sharing one value with finite weight, Ann. Polon. Math., 95(1)(2009), 51-66.
- [16] YANG C.C., On deficiencies of differential polynomials II, Math. Z., 125 (1972), 107-112.
- [17] YANG L., Value distribution theory, translated and revised from the 1982 Chinese original, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [18] YI H.-X., Meromorphic functions that share one or two values II, Kodai Math. J., 22(2)(1999), 264-272.
- [19] YI H.X., YANG C.C., Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions, Science Press, Beijing, 1995.
- [20] Zhang J., Uniqueness theorems for entire functions concerning fixed points, Comput. Math. Appl., 56(12)(2008), 3079-3087.
- [21] Zhang J.-L., Yang L.-Z., Some results related to a conjecture of R. Brück, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 8(1)(2007), Article 18, 11 pp.

Waghamore P. Harina
Department of Mathematics
Central College Campus
Bangalore University
Bangalore-560 001, India
e-mail: harinapw@gmail.com

S. Rajeshwari
Department of Mathematics
Central College Campus
Bangalore University
Bangalore-560 001, India
e-mail: rajeshwaripreetham@gmail.com

Received on 05.12.2016 and, in revised form, on 23.05.2017.