

ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Clinical Investigations

SELECTION OF THE OPTIMAL LEVEL OF DISTAL FIXATION FOR CORRECTION OF SCHEUERMANN'S HYPERKYPHOSIS

Mikhail V. Mikhaylovskiy*, Artem N. Sorokin, Vyacheslav V. Novikov, Alexander S. Vasyura
Novosibirsk Research Institute for Traumatology and Orthopedics, Novosibirsk, Russia

ВЫБОР ОПТИМАЛЬНОГО УРОВНЯ НИЖНЕГО ИНСТРУМЕНТИРОВАННОГО ПОЗВОНКА ПРИ КОРРЕКЦИИ ГИПЕРКИФОЗОВ ПРИ БОЛЕЗНИ ШОЙЕРМАННА

Михаил В. Михайловский*, Артем Н. Сорокин, Вячеслав В. Новиков, Александр С. Васюра
Новосибирский научно-исследовательский институт травматологии и ортопедии им. Я.Л.Цивьяна
Министерства Здравоохранения России, Новосибирск, Россия

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To analyze the efficacy of the method for selecting the distal level of fusion in treatment of thoracic hyperkyphosis in patients with Scheuermann's disease. **BACKGROUND:** The fusion area needs to include all the kyphotic deformity in Scheuermann patients; however, precise levels of the distal fixation have not been determined yet. **STUDY DESIGN:** Retrospective cohort review. **MATERIALS AND METHODS:** Thirty-six patients were operated in the Department of Children and Adolescent Spine Pathology between 2007 and 2010. These patients were divided into two groups: in group I (n = 29) a lower instrumented vertebra corresponded to the sagittal stable one and in group II (n = 7) - this vertebra located proximally. **RESULTS:** The mean preoperative kyphosis was $79.3^\circ \pm 11.6^\circ$, the postoperative - $40.6^\circ \pm 11.9^\circ$ (correction of 49.9%), loss of correction was $4.9^\circ \pm 7.0^\circ$. Sagittal balance changed from -0.3 ± 3.2 cm before surgery to -1.7 ± 2.1 cm after surgery. Distal junctional kyphosis developed in 1 case (4%) in Group I, and in 5 cases (71%) in Group II. **CONCLUSION:** A distal level of instrumentation ending at the first lordotic vertebra is not justified and causes violation of sagittal balance and development of distal junctional kyphosis. The inclusion of a sagittal stable vertebra in fusion prevents the development of this undesirable situation.

Key words: Scheuermann's kyphosis, level of fixation, sagittal stable vertebra, distal junctional kyphosis

Folia Medica 2015; 57(1): 29-36

Copyright © 20154 Medical University, Plovdiv

РЕЗЮМЕ

Цель: анализ эффективности метода определения нижнего инструментированного позвонка в лечении грудных гиперкифозов у пациентов с болезнью Шойерманна. **Состояние проблемы:** в зону спондилодеза должна быть включена вся кифотическая деформация, однако относительно нижней границы зоны спондилодеза единого мнения не существует. **Материал и Методы:** в отделении детской и подростковой вертебрологии за период с 2007 по 2010 год оперировано 36 пациентов. Они разделены на 2 группы: в группе I (n = 29) нижний инструментированный позвонок соответствует сагиттально стабильному, в группе II (n = 7) нижний инструментированный позвонок расположен проксимальнее сагиттально стабильного позвонка. **Дизайн исследования:** ретроспективное групповое исследование. **Результаты:** Величина кифоза перед операцией составила в среднем $79.3^\circ \pm 11.6^\circ$, после операции - $40.6^\circ \pm 11.9^\circ$ (коррекция 49.9%), послеоперационная потеря коррекции $4.9^\circ \pm 7.0^\circ$. Сагиттальный баланс изменился с -0.3 ± 3.2 см до операции до -1.7 ± 2.1 см после вмешательства. Дистальный переходный кифоз развился у одного больного из I группы (4%) и у пяти из II группы (71%). **Заключение:** Выбор первого лордотического позвонка в качестве дистальной точки зоны спондилодеза неоправдан и вызывает развитие сагиттального дисбаланса и дистального переходного кифоза. Включение в зону спондилодеза сагиттально стабильного позвонка предотвращает развитие этого осложнения.

Ключевые слова: кифоз Шойерманна, уровень спондилодеза, сагиттально стабильный позвонок, дистальный переходный кифоз

Folia Medica 2015; 57(1): 29-36

© 2015 Все права защищены. Медицинский университет, Пловдив

INTRODUCTION

Scheuermann's disease is the most frequent cause of hyperkyphosis in adolescent patients. Selection of the optimal level of fixation is an important stage in pre-operative planning of thoracic hyperkyphosis correction. The fusion area needs to include all the kyphotic deformity.^{1,2} Many surgeons support the view that the upper border of the fusion must lie at the level of proximal vertebra in the kyphosis being measured³⁻⁵; however, precise levels of the distal fixation have not been determined yet. Construction should not end at the caudal vertebra within deformity in order to prevent the development of the distal junctional kyphosis (DJK). DJK has a significant clinical value, since it may cause pain syndrome and may also result in inconsistency of the instrumental caudal claw. Its development is unfavorable anyway. Ascani and La Rosa⁶ recommended to extend the metal construction for one level lower than the origin of the transitional level and to fix the L1 vertebra. Wenger and Frick⁷ supposed that posterior fusion needs to be performed at the T3–T12 levels. It is believed nowadays that the instrumental fixation area should include the vertebra localized distally with respect to the first lordotic disc.^{3,4,8} However, the development of DJK was observed even when these rules were maintained (Fig. 1).

Incorrect selection of the optimal fusion distal level may result in the development of DJK.^{5,9} It is difficult to determine the distal level of kyphosis, since vertebral bodies are cuneiform and lamina are rough in patients with Scheuermann's disease. It is often impossible to precisely measure the changes in the cuneiformity of discs in the thoracolumbar spine.⁴ The method described by Cho, Lenke¹⁰ was used in this study. During the course of formation of the distal claw, they selected the sagittal stable vertebra that is the most proximal vertebra through which the posterior sacral vertical line goes (a line going vertically from the upper posterior sacrum as seen in the lateral X-ray film). This vertebra must be fixed (Fig. 1).

The objective of this study was to analyze the efficacy of the Cho-Lenke method for selecting the distal level of fusion in the treatment of Scheuermann's hyperkyphosis. Special attention was paid to the association between the sagittal stable vertebra, the first lordotic vertebra (localized caudally from the first lordotic disc below the kyphotic deformity), the lower instrumented vertebra (LIV), and the formation of DJK in the postoperative period.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Retrospective analysis of treatment outcomes was performed in 36 patients (32 males, 4 females) with kyphotic deformities of spine caused by Scheuermann's disease. The patients were operated between 2007 and 2010 at the Department of Children and Adolescent Spine Pathology. Mean age of patients was 19.0 ± 3.0 yrs (range 14–32 yrs). The patients were divided into two groups: in group I ($n = 29$), the LIV was the sagittal stable vertebra and in group II ($n = 7$), it was proximal to the sagittal stable vertebra. The follow-up period was 3.6 ± 1.3 years.

Radiographic examination was performed before




Figure 1. Determination of the sagittal stable vertebra (SSV): the posterior sacral vertical line (PSVL).

Table 1. Fusion levels and the sagittal stable vertebra (SSV)

Kyphosis	Anterior fusion	Posterior fusion	First lordotic disc	SSV level
Group I				
T4–T12	T6–T10	T3–L3	T12–L1	L2
T4–L1	T7–T10	T3–L3	L1–L2	L3
T4–T12	not performed	T4–L2	T12–L1	L2
T4–T12	T8–T12	T4–L3	T12–L1	L2
T4–T12	T7–T11	T3–L1	T12–L1	L1
T4–L1	T9–T12	T4–L3	L1–L2	L3
T3–T12	T7–T10	T4–L3	T12–L1	L2
T3–T12	T6–T9	T3–L3	T12–L1	L2
T3–T12	T6–T10	T3–L3	T12–L1	L2
T3–L2	T8–T11	T3–L4	L2–L3	L3
T4–T12	T7–T10	T4–L3	T12–L1	L2
T6–L2	T10–L1	T5–L4	L2–L3	L4
T4–L1	not performed	T4–L3	L1–L2	L2
T3–T12	T7–T10	T4–L3	T12–L1	L2
T4–T12	T6–T9	T3–L3	T12–L1	L3
T6–L2	T10–T12	T5–L4	L2–L3	L3
T3–T12	T6–T10	T3–L2	T12–L1	L2
T4–T12	T6–T9	T4–L3	L1–L2	L2
T4–L1	T8–T11	T4–L3	L1–L2	L2
T3–T12	T7–T10	T3–L4	T12–L1	L2
T3–T12	T7–T10	T4–L3	T12–L1	L2
T4–T12	T7–T10	T4–L2	T12–L1	L1
T4–L1	T8–T12	T4–L2	L1–L2	L2
T3–T12	T6–T8	T3–L2	T12–L1	L2
T3–T12	T6–T8	T3–L3	T12–L1	L2
T5–T12	T9–T12	T5–L3	T12–L1	L3
T3–L2	T9–T12	T4–L3	L2–L3	L3
T3–T12	not performed	T4–L2	T12–L1	L2
T3–L1	T7–T9	T3–L3	L1–L2	L3
Group II				
T3–T12	T8–T12	T4–L2	T12–L1	L3
T3–T12	T7–T10	T4–L2	T12–L1	L2
T3–T12	T4–L1	T7–T9	T12–L1	L2
T3–T12	T6–T9	T3–L2	T12–L1	L3
T4–T12	T8–T12	T4–L2	T12–L1	L3
T3–L1	not performed	T3–L1	L1–L2	L3
T3–T12	not performed	T4–L2	T12–L1	L4

and after surgery and during the 2-year follow-up. Kyphosis was measured according to Cobb method.¹¹ Sagittal balance was estimated in lateral X-ray films of patients in the standing position. The ratio between the plumb line extending from the center of the C7 vertebra to the upper posterior angle of S1 was measured. If the plumb line went behind the sacrum, the sagittal balance was considered to be negative. In order to estimate the position of the distal end of instrumentation with respect to the sacrum, we measured the distance between the center of the LIV and the posterior sacral line. The negative balance implied that the lower instrumented vertebra was located posterior to the sacrum. DJK was measured by a kyphotic change in the disc localized caudally from the lower instrumented vertebra. The thoracic kyphotic deformity apex localized at the T7 (n = 11), T8 (n = 18), T9 (n = 5), and T10 (n = 2) levels. Only 5 patients were operated on using dorsal fixation (14%), while 31 patients (86%) were operated using anterior discectomy and interbody fusion combined with posterior fixation with segmental instrumentation (all – in one session). In order to form the caudal claw, transpedicular screws and laminar hooks were used in 10 and 26 cases, respectively. The variability in lengths of dorsal and ventral fusions is summarized in Table 1.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 15.0); the non-parametric Mann-Whitney tests were used to assess the significance of the relationship between the 2 group. The threshold level of statistical significance was lower than 0.01 ($p < 0.01$). All data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD).

RESULTS

The mean angle of kyphotic deformity in patients in the standing position was $79.3^\circ \pm 11.6^\circ$. The deformity reduced to $40.6^\circ \pm 11.9^\circ$ after surgical correction (49.9%) (Table 2).

Sagittal balance in group I changed from -0.3 ± 3.2 cm before surgery to -1.7 ± 2.1 cm at the last control examination. In group II, the changes were from -0.4 ± 2.5 to -1.9 ± 2.2 cm (Table 3). Therefore, the negative sagittal balance increased after surgery in both groups.

In group I, the center of the lower instrumented vertebra before surgery was projected behind the sacrum; the distance between it and the posterior sacral line was -0.9 ± 1.67 cm. The balance was normalized after surgery: the center of the LIV localized above the sacrum (0.11 ± 1.89 cm); this location persisted over the follow-up period (-0.28 ± 1.06 cm). In group II, the LIV localized behind the sacrum (-3.37 ± 0.71 cm); however, this localization remained virtually unchanged by the end of the follow-up (-2.53 ± 1.16 cm). The intergroup difference in the distance between the LIV and the posterior sacral line was statistically significant ($p < 0.01$). The most likely reason for this is that the LIV in group II was one level higher than that in group I.

Complications. DJK developed in 6 cases; caudal claw was performed with laminar hooks in all these cases (Fig. 2). No DJK was found when transpedicular fixation was used. Only 1 case was detected in group I. The inconsistency of the lower points of anchorage was detected in this case, which made it necessary to repeat the distal capture of an endocorrector. In group II, DJK was found in 5 patients. The inconsistency of caudal capture was

Table 2. Results of surgical correction of kyphotic deformity in patient groups (mean \pm SD)

Parameters	Total (n = 36)	I (n = 29)	II (n = 7)	p (p < 0.01)
Kyphotic deformity before surgery in the standing position, deg	79.3 \pm 11.6	81.6 \pm 10.9	70.0 \pm 10.1	0.03
Kyphotic deformity in the hyperextended position, deg	53.7 \pm 11.4	55.6 \pm 11.7	46.0 \pm 6.1	0.02
Kyphotic deformity after surgery, deg	40.6 \pm 11.9	41.2 \pm 12.5	38.5 \pm 9.9	0.47
Correction, %	49.9	49.6	45.00	–
Kyphotic deformity by the end of the follow-up period, deg	45.5 \pm 13.2	45.7 \pm 13.2	44.4 \pm 14.0	0.84
Correction loss, deg	4.9 \pm 7.0	4.5 \pm 7.0	5.9 \pm 7.6	0.66

Table 3. Sagittal balance correction (mean \pm SD)

	I (n = 29)	II (n = 7)	p
Preoperative	-0.3 \pm 3.2 cm	-0.4 \pm 2.5 cm	0.89
Postoperative	-1.5 \pm 2.4 cm	-2.7 \pm 1.1 cm	0.23
Final follow-up	-1.7 \pm 2.1 cm	-1.9 \pm 2.2 cm	0.3

detected in 2 cases, requiring remounting of the instrumentation, changing hooks for transpedicular fixation, and extension of the fusion area.

Other complications were associated with the

development of proximal junctional kyphosis (n = 6), which were asymptomatic and were detected in the control X-rays. In 2 cases, the inconsistency of instrumented cranial capture developed and required remounting of the endocorrector. Neither neurologic nor inflammatory complications were observed.

DISCUSSION

The choice of a fixation level is the most important factor in treatment of hyperkyphosis. Inadequate choice may disturb the overall sagittal balance of the spine and cause kyphosis development below or above the metal construct. King et al. suggested a theory of preoperative planning to be used in patients with idiopathic scoliosis.¹² According to this theory, the caudal end of a construct is to reside at the stable vertebra, which facilitates normalization of the spine balance. The same principle can be applied to kyphotic deformities. Caudal claw of the instrumentation must include the sagittal stable





Figure 2. Radiographs of 14-year-old patient L.: posterior fusion at the T4–L2 level, sagittal stable vertebra (SSV) – L3; development of distal junctional kyphosis at the L2–L3 level 2 years after surgery.




Figure 3. Radiographs of 25-year-old patient Sh.: posterior fusion at the T4–L2 level, sagittal stable vertebra (SSV) – L2. The inclusion of this vertebra in fusion prevented the development of distal transient kyphosis at 2 years postoperatively.

vertebra. If this rule is maintained, the LIV localizes above the sacrum, which allows normalization of the sagittal balance of the spine (Fig. 3).

The overall negative balance increased after surgery.^{4,13} Installation of the segmental instru-

mentation shifts the rotation axis in the middle column of the spine backward from the center of mass of the body in the sagittal plane.¹⁴ In order to maintain the sagittal balance after surgery, both proximal and distal ends of the instrumentation

must be as close as possible to the line of the center of mass of the body: the distal end of the instrumentation, at the sagittal stable vertebra; the proximal end of the instrumentation - at the upper border of kyphosis. In this study, there was a trend toward the stronger negative balance in the group II patients; however, the difference between the groups was small ($p = 0.23$) (Table 3). The distance between the LIV and the upper posterior sacral vertical line was larger in group II. Thus, the lower instrumented vertebra localized behind the sacrum in this group. This upset the overall sagittal balance, resulting in compensatory development of DJK. In group I, where the LIV coincided with the sagittal stable one, the lower end of the construct localized at the center of the sacrum, maintaining the balance of the spine.

Development of junctional kyphosis above or below the instrumentation is a serious problem emerging after the surgical correction of the kyphotic deformity in patients with Scheuermann's disease. The DJK is of the greatest importance because it causes pain syndrome in the lumbar spine.¹⁵ Proximal junctional kyphosis is often asymptomatic.^{4,9} Bradford et al.⁹ described the loss of correction and the development of junctional kyphosis below the construct in 5 of 24 patients, while attributing it to the fact that the lower vertebra in the kyphosis being measured was not fixed. Lowe, Kasten⁴ reported the development of DJK in 9 patients; the first lordotic disc was not fixed in 8 of these patients. In our study, the first lordotic disc was not fixed in one patient only, resulting in the development of transient kyphosis below the metal construct. The caudal kyphotic vertebra was fixed in all cases. Nevertheless, despite the fact that all these rules were maintained, DJK developed in the postoperative period in 5 of 35 patients. In group I, the concept proposed by Cho, Lenke¹⁰ was used to select the lower instrumented vertebra: the sagittal stable vertebra was determined, which allowed us to avoid development of DJK in 28 of 29 patients.

The use of screws as distal anchorage points reduced the risk of inconsistency in this part of instrumentation and in some cases allowed one to exclude one segment from the fusion.

CONCLUSIONS

The adequate choice of the fixation level when correcting hyperkyphosis prevents the development of junctional kyphosis above or below the construct. Finishing the mounting of a construct at the first lordotic vertebra rather than at the sagittal stable one

is not justified, since it often disturbs the sagittal balance and induces DJK. When using the sagittal stable vertebra as the lower anchorage point, the caudal part of the instrumentation coincides with the center of the first sacral vertebra, thus maintaining the balance of the body. Hence, the inclusion of this vertebra in fusion is more likely to prevent the development of distal transient kyphosis.

REFERENCES

1. Sturm PF, Dobson JC, Armstrong GW. The surgical management of Scheuermann's disease. *Spine* 1993;18(6):685-91.
2. Taylor TC, Wenger DR, Stephen J, et al. Surgical management of thoracic kyphosis in adolescents. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1979;61(4):496-503.
3. De Jonge T, Iles T, Bellyei A. Surgical correction of Scheuermann's kyphosis. *Int Orthop* 2001; 25(2): 70-3.
4. Lowe TG, Kasten MD. An analysis of sagittal curves and balance after Cotrel-Dubousset Instrumentation for kyphosis secondary to Scheuermann's disease. A review of 32 patients. *Spine* 1994;19(15):1680-5.
5. Papagelopoulos PJ, Klassen RA, Peterson HA, et al. Surgical treatment of Scheuermann's disease with segmental compression instrumentation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2001;386:139-49.
6. Ascani E, La Rosa G. Schueurmann's kyphosis. In: Weinstein SL (editor). *The Pediatric Spine: Principles and Practice*. N.-Y.; 1994:557-641.
7. Wenger D, Frick S. Scheuermann kyphosis. *Spine* 1999;24(24):2630-9.
8. Otsuka NY, Hall JE, Mah JY. Posterior fusion for Scheuermann's kyphosis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1990;251:134-9.
9. Bradford DS, Ahmed KB, Moe JH, et al. The surgical management of patients with Scheuermann's disease: a review of twenty-four cases managed by combined anterior and posterior spine fusion. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1980;62(5):705-12.
10. Cho KJ, Lenke LG, Bridwell KH, et al. Selection of the optimal distal fusion level in posterior instrumentation and fusion for thoracic hyperkyphosis: the sagittal stable vertebra concept. *Spine* 2009;34(8):765-70.
11. Cobb JR. Outline for the study of scoliosis. *AAOS Instr Course Lect* 1948;5:621-75.
12. King HA, Moe JH, Bradford DS, et al. The selection of fusion levels in thoracic idiopathic scoliosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1983;65(9):1302-13.
13. Hosman AJ, Langeloo DD, de Kleuver M, et al. Analysis of the sagittal plane after surgical management for Scheuermann's disease: a view on overcorrection and use of an anterior release. *Spine* 2002;27(2):167-75.

14. Jackson RP, McManus AC. Radiographic analysis of sagittal plane alignment and balance in standing volunteers and patients with low back pain matched for age, sex, and size: a prospective controlled clinical study. *Spine* 1994;19(14):1611-18.
15. Herndon WA, Emans JB, Micheli LJ, et al. Combined anterior and posterior fusion for Scheuermann's kyphosis. *Spine* 1981;6(2):125-30.